Zayed University [ZU Scholars](https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/)

[All Works](https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works)

2-1-2017

Establishment and development of the intestinal microbiota of preterm infants in a Lebanese tertiary hospital

Tarek Itani Saint Joseph University

Carole Ayoub Moubareck Zayed University, carole.ayoubmoubareck@zu.ac.ae

Imad Melki Hôtel-Dieu de France Hospital

Clotilde Rousseau Université de Paris

Irène Mangin Université de Paris

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works](https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works?utm_source=zuscholars.zu.ac.ae%2Fworks%2F1528&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Life Sciences Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1016?utm_source=zuscholars.zu.ac.ae%2Fworks%2F1528&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/648?utm_source=zuscholars.zu.ac.ae%2Fworks%2F1528&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Itani, Tarek; Ayoub Moubareck, Carole; Melki, Imad; Rousseau, Clotilde; Mangin, Irène; Butel, Marie José; and Karam Sarkis, Dolla, "Establishment and development of the intestinal microbiota of preterm infants in a Lebanese tertiary hospital" (2017). All Works. 1528. [https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works/1528](https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works/1528?utm_source=zuscholars.zu.ac.ae%2Fworks%2F1528&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ZU Scholars. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Works by an authorized administrator of ZU Scholars. For more information, please contact scholars@zu.ac.ae.

Author First name, Last name, Institution

Tarek Itani, Carole Ayoub Moubareck, Imad Melki, Clotilde Rousseau, Irène Mangin, Marie José Butel, and Dolla Karam Sarkis

[Anaerobe 43 \(2017\) 4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2016.11.001)-[14](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2016.11.001)

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Anaerobe

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/anaerobe

Anaerobes in the microbiome

Establishment and development of the intestinal microbiota of preterm infants in a Lebanese tertiary hospital

Tarek Itani ^{a, c,} *, Carole Ayoub Moubareck ^{a, c, g}, Imad Melki ^d, Clotilde Rousseau ^{b, e}, Irène Mangin ^{b, f}, Marie-José Butel ^b, Dolla Karam Sarkis ^{a, c}

a Microbiology Laboratory, School of Pharmacy, Saint-Joseph University, Beirut, Lebanon

^b EA4065, Hospital University Department, Paris Descartes University, Sorbonne Paris Cite, Paris, France

^c Rodolphe Merieux Laboratory, Beirut, Lebanon

^d Hôtel Dieu de France Hospital, Beirut, Lebanon

^e Microbiology, Saint-Louis Hospital, APHP, Paris, France

^f Laboratoire MIEL, Cnam, Paris, France

^g College of Natural and Health Sciences, Zayed University, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

article info

Article history: Received 31 July 2016 Received in revised form 2 November 2016 Accepted 6 November 2016 Available online 8 November 2016

Handling Editor: Emma Allen-Vercoe

Keywords: Intestinal microbiota Preterm infants Lebanese infants Bifidobacterium Real time PCR **TTGE**

ABSTRACT

The establishment and development of the intestinal microbiota is known to be associated with profound short- and long-term effects on the health of full-term infants (FTI), but studies are just starting for preterm infants (PTI). The data also mostly come from western countries and little information is available for the Middle East. Here, we determined the composition and dynamics of the intestinal microbiota during the first month of life for PTI ($n = 66$) and FTI ($n = 17$) in Lebanon. Fecal samples were collected weekly and analyzed by quantitative PCR (q-PCR) and temporal temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TTGE). We observed differences in the establishment and composition of the intestinal microbiota between the two groups. q-PCR showed that PTI were more highly colonized by Staphylococcus than FTI in the first three weeks of life; whereas FTI were more highly colonized by Clostridium clusters I and XI. At one month of life, PTI were mainly colonized by facultative anaerobes and a few strict anaerobes, such as Clostridium cluster I and Bifidobacterium. The type of feeding and antibiotic treatments significantly affected intestinal colonization. TTGE revealed low species diversity in both groups and high inter-individual variability in PTI. Our findings show that PTI had altered intestinal colonization with a higher occurrence of potential pathogens (*Enterobacter*, *Clostridium sp*) than FTI. This suggests the need for intervention strategies for PTI to modulate their intestinal microbiota and promote their health. © 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)).

1. Introduction

In the 21st century, a century after Ilya Metchnikoff introduced the theory that lactic acid bacteria are beneficial to human health, the interest in the intestinal microbiota is reaching its culmination [\[1\]](#page-11-0). The intestinal microbiota influences the normal development of the intestinal mucosal barrier and has short- and long-term effects on the health of children and adults $[2,3]$. It has been implicated in many disorders including obesity, asthma, diabetes, heart disease, metabolic syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease $[2-4]$ $[2-4]$ $[2-4]$, and cancer [\[5\]](#page-11-0).

thereafter by microorganisms recovered from the mother and the environment [\[6,7\]](#page-11-0). Recent data suggest earlier colonization in utero [\[8\]](#page-11-0). Full-term, vaginally delivered infants are initially colonized by facultative anaerobes favoring the later proliferation of strict anaerobes, including Clostridium, Bacteroides, and Bifidobacterium $[6,7]$. By 10 days of life, most healthy full-term neonates are colonized by a heterogeneous bacterial microbiota, with bifidobacterial species dominant in breast-fed infants and a more diversified population in formula-fed infants.

Intestinal colonization begins during delivery and continues

Several factors may affect this colonization process, including the: type of feeding, mode of delivery, antibiotic exposure, and gestational age (GA) [\[7\].](#page-11-0) The type of feeding was the first factor known to highly influence bacterial establishment, with breastfeeding enhancing gut colonization by bifidobacteria and

1075-9964/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2016.11.001>

CrossMark

^{*} Corresponding author. Rodolphe Merieux Laboratory, Campus de l'innovation et du sport, Rue de Damas, B.P. 17-5208, Mar Mikhaël, Beirut, Lebanon.

E-mail address: tarek.itani@usj.edu.lb (T. Itani).

lactobacilli, in contrast to formula feeding [\[9\]](#page-11-0). Delivery through cesarean section results in lower biodiversity, a delay in colonization by beneficial bacteria (bifidobacteria and lactobacilli), and lower colonization by Bacteroides during the first weeks of life [\[10,11\]](#page-11-0). Antibiotics may also reduce the diversity and delay colonization of intestinal microbiota [\[12\]](#page-11-0). Gestational age (GA) plays a significant factor in the establishment of the gut microbiota, as severely premature infants are colonized differently than latepreterm infants, with a high inter-variability [\[13\]](#page-11-0). Data carried out in very low birth-weight neonates reported aberrant profiles with a major delay in colonization by anaerobes, such as Bifidobacterium and Bacteroides, whereas Clostridium predominated and staphylococci and Enterobacteriaceae other than Escherichia coli, such as *Enterobacter cloacae*, reached high levels [\[18\]](#page-11-0). Other factors can also influence the colonization process, such as environmental exposures, medical practices, and geographic differences [\[14\]](#page-11-0).

Alteration of the intestinal microbiota in preterm infants (PTI) has been associated with the development of short-term diseases, such as sepsis or necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) [\[13,15\]](#page-11-0). NEC is the most common gastrointestinal emergency in PTI and is a devastating condition with a high morbidity and mortality. An aberrant microbiota in PTI appears to be one of the key factors leading to NEC development $[16-18]$ $[16-18]$, although its pathogenesis remains unclear and no specific microorganism has been shown to be consistently involved.

Early microbial intestinal dysbiosis in PTI has also been associated with numerous long-term health consequences including type-1 diabetes, Crohn disease, allergic diseases, such as asthma and atopic dermatitis, obesity, and autism spectrum disorders [\[7,15\].](#page-11-0)

Most studies on microbial colonization have been performed in industrialized countries and on western children, although differences in microbial establishment have been observed among infants from different environments. Differences have been observed in children across Europe $[14]$ or between those raised in rural and urban environments [\[19\]](#page-11-0), likely due to differences in neonatal care. The aim of this study was to analyze and quantify the establishment and development of the intestinal microbiota in Lebanese PTI using culture-independent techniques (i.e. real time PCR (qPCR) and TTGE), in the absence of relevant data on the country and Middle East region. A group of full-term infants (FTI) was included as there is no literature on bacterial establishment in Lebanese FTI.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Preterm and full-term infants

PTI were recruited among patients admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) at Hôtel-Dieu de France Hospital (Beirut, Lebanon) from January 2013 to December 2014. Enrolled PTI were born at a gestational GA of <37 weeks and had no congenital malformations (e.g. gastrochisis, atresias) and/or metabolic diseases. NEC cases were also excluded from this study. Signed and written consent was obtained from parents before inclusion of PTI to this protocol, approved by the ethics committee of Saint Joseph University of Lebanon (CEHDF 426). Clinical data for all PTI were registered on a special form which included: gender, GA, delivery mode, birth weight, length, head circumference, APGAR score, antepartum and intrapartum antibiotics and steroids, maternal infections and diseases, neonatal infections, type of feeding, days of life at the start of enteral feeding, antibiotic courses $<$ 72 h, intubation, transfusions, and parenteral feedings.

FTI born at a GA >37 weeks and with no congenital malformations or metabolic diseases participated in this study as a comparative group. Clinical data recorded included gender, GA, delivery mode, birth weight, type of feeding, and days of life at the start of enteral feeding.

2.2. Fecal sampling

Fecal samples were collected weekly for PTI during their stay at the NICU. Diapers were stocked at 4° C and collected by the laboratory within 4 h. After homogenization with a sterile loop, approximately 1 g of each stool was placed in a sterile cryogenic tube for subsequent molecular analysis. All samples were immediately frozen at -80° C until analysis. For FTI, stool samples were collected at the first and between the second and third weeks of life and then treated as the PTI samples.

2.3. Microbiota analysis

2.3.1. DNA extraction from fecal samples

Total DNA was extracted from fecal samples using the beadbeating method previously described and adapted from Magne et al. [\[20\].](#page-11-0) Approximately 125 mg of each sample was suspended in 125 μ L 4 M guanidinium isothiocyanate $-$ 0.1 M Tris (pH 7.5) and 500 µL 5% N-lauryl sarcosine - 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 8). After 15 min of incubation at 70 \degree C, 750 µL 0.1 mm glass beads (Biospec, Bartlesville, USA) were added and the samples homogenized in a mini-bead beater 16 (Biospec) twice for 2 min. After centrifugation, the pellet was washed three times with TENP [50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8), 20 mM EDTA (pH 8), 100 mM NaCl, and 1% of polyvinylpolypyrrolidone] to ensure removal of all polyphenol to avoid inhibiting the subsequent qPCR reactions. All obtained supernatants were pooled and extracted with an equal volume of equilibrated phenol (Sigma, Saint Louis, USA) and purified twice with $chloroform - isoamvl alcohol 24:1 (Sigma). Nucleic acids were$ precipitated by the addition of one volume of 100% isopropanol and 1/10 volume of 3 M sodium acetate (pH 5.4) and incubated at -20° C for 30 min. The precipitated DNA was centrifuged for 10 min at $20,000 \times$ g at 4°C. DNA pellets were washed with 70% ethanol, allowed to air dry, and finally re-suspended in DNA-free water. The extracted DNA was frozen at -0° C until analysis.

2.3.2. Quantitative analysis of intestinal microbiota by qPCR

Quantification of the major bacterial genera or groups was carried out by qPCR using the primers shown in the supplementary files (Table S1). All reactions were performed using Hard-Shell[®] Low profiles 96-plates sealed with Microseal® B adhesive seals (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and iQ TM SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) in a CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). The total bacterial copy number was determined using primers Eub339 and Eub 788. The reaction mixture contained 2 µL template fecal DNA (diluted 1:10 to 1:100 in pure water), 0.16 μ M $-$ 0.32 μ M of each primer, and 2X SYBR® Green supermix in a reaction volume of 25 µL PCR.

Thermal cycling consisted of an initial cycle of 95 \degree C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 \degree C for 15 s, 1 min at the appropriate primer-pair Tm and 2 min at 68 \degree C. The fluorescent product was detected at the last step of each cycle. Standard curves were obtained from serial dilutions of a known concentration of plasmid DNA containing a 16S rRNA gene insert from each species or group. The plasmid concentration was determined by spectrophotometry and the quantity of target gene in a sample was determined using these standard curves. Samples were analyzed in duplicate in at least two independent PCR runs. The bacterial concentration in each sample was calculated by comparing the Ct values obtained from standard curves. Results were converted to log_{10} CFU/g of feces after taking into account the number of 16S rRNA operons (Ribosome Database Project) in each genus or family. The detection limit depended on the bacterial groups and ranged between $10⁴$ and 10^6 CFU/g.

2.3.3. Temperature temporal gel electrophoresis analysis

Primers Eub339F and Eub788R were used to amplify the V3 to V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA genes, as previously described [\[20\]](#page-11-0). Samples were amplified in an eppendorf master-cycler (Eppendorf AG, New-York, USA) using the following program: 94°C for 10 min; 30 cycles of 96°C for 15 s, 55°C for 1 min, 72°C for 4 min; and finally 72°C for 15 min. A Dcode™ universal mutation detection system (Bio-rad) was used for sequence specific separation of the PCR products. Electrophoresis was performed as previously described [\[20\].](#page-11-0) The ladder consisted of the following organisms in order of migration: Bacteroides sp., Prevotella sp., Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Escherichia coli, and Bifidobacterium longum.

After electrophoresis, the gels were analyzed using Diversity Database Software 2.1 (Bio-rad). The number of bands was used as an indicator of biodiversity. Bands of interest were excised from TTGE gels, washed, and incubated overnight at 4°C in sterile water to allow for DNA dispersion. Re-amplification by PCR was performed on an aliquot using the same primers and conditions as above (supplementary files S1). Amplicons were then sequenced and compared with those present in the National Center for Biotechnology Information.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The results were analyzed using SPSS software 21.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). The normality of distribution of the continuous variables was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Because variables were not normally distributed, non-parametric tests were used. Mann-Whitney tests were used to compare bacterial levels between the PTI and FTI groups. Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to compare bacterial levels between the different time points in PTI and FTI. The association between bacterial colonization and perinatal factors (GA, delivery mode, type of feeding, and antibiotic treatment) were studied using the Chi square test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. All associates were considered to be significant when p values were less than 0.05.

For microbiota, an arbitrary value of 2 log_{10} colony-forming units per gram of fecal content (log_{10} CFU) was used when species or targeted taxonomic groups were not detected.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the infants

Of the 81 eligible consecutive PTI studied between October 2012 and November 2014, 15 were excluded; nine because of NEC, four due to metabolic and congenital diseases, and two due to early death. The remaining 66 PTI included eight pairs of twins and one set of triplets. In parallel, 17 healthy FTI born at a GA of 37 or more weeks were included in this study.

Characteristics of the PTI and FTI are reported in [Table 1.](#page-5-0) Breast-fed infants were either exclusively fed breast-milk or had a mixed diet, whereas formula-fed infants were exclusively fed infant formula. PTI were mainly born by cesarean delivery, breast-fed, and exposed to antibiotics. FTI were also mainly born by cesarean delivery, breast-fed, but less exposed to antibiotics (23.5% vs. 75.7% for PTI, $p = 0.0005$). Forty-six PTI were exposed to antibiotics with 28 receiving short-length treatment of less than 48 h with ampicillin and cefotaxime, and 18 receiving longterm treatment of three days or more (median seven days, range 3–19 days). Thirteen PTI were treated with antibiotics for three days to two weeks with various combinations of amikacin (9 PTI), vancomycin (6 PTI), imipenem (6 PTI), ceftazidime (6 PTI), clarithromycin (4 PTI) and/or gentamicin (1 PTI). Five PTI were given a prolonged antibiotic treatment beyond two weeks, with a combination of the following antibiotics: ceftazidime, amikacin, vancomycin, imipenem and clarithromycin.

We analyzed a total of 127 samples, for an average of 2.6 samples per PTI. We defined the time window of the samples as follows: three to nine days of life (dol) as week 1; 10 to 16 dol as week 2; 17 to 23 dol as week 3; 24 to 30 dol as week 4. We sampled 48 PTI at week 1; 52 between weeks 2 $\&$ 3, and 27 at week 4. We collected two samples for FTI, one at week 1 and another at weeks 2 or 3. All fecal samples were studied using qPCR and TTGE.

3.2. Establishment of the intestinal microbiota

The analyses of bacterial colonization using qPCR, in both PTI and FTI, are summarized in [Fig. 1.](#page-6-0)

The most frequent and abundant genus of the aerobic microbiota found in PTI after one week of life ([Fig. 1A](#page-6-0)) was Staphylococcus (median 6.8 log_{10} CFU/g of feces; 83.3% were colonized). The median levels of the genera Enterococcus and Enterobacteriaceae were lower (5.6 log_{10} and 5.0 log_{10} , respectively) with a high percentage of noncolonized infants (33.3% and 43.8%, respectively). Colonization by lactobacilli was low (35.4%) with a low median (2 log_{10}). The most frequent genus of the anaerobic microbiota in PTI after one week of life was Bifidobacterium with 64.5% infants colonized at a median of 5.6 $log₁₀$. By contrast, there was a low level of colonization by the Bacteroides/Prevotella group, Clostridium cluster I, the Clostridium leptum group, the Clostridium coccoides group, and Clostridium cluster XI. Among these groups, the highest percentage of colonized infants was 18.8% for C. leptum. However, colonization by anaerobes reached high levels for two of these infants (up to $10 \log_{10}$).

For the PTI cohort, the levels of Staphylococcus and Enterococcus varied little during the second to fourth weeks of life ([Fig. 1](#page-6-0)B and C). In contrast, colonization by Enterobacteriaceae increased (median 8.8 log_{10} at weeks 2 and 3, and 9.1 log_{10} at week 4). Lactobacilli remained at low levels (median 3.4 log_{10}). For anaerobic microbiota, there was a slight change in colonization by Bifidobacterium (median 6.3 log_{10} at week 4) and nearly no variation for the other anaerobic taxonomic groups, except Clostridium cluster I, for which the levels increased from 16.1% at week $1-30.8\%$ at weeks 2 and 3, and 41% at week 4.

For the FTI cohort ([Fig. 1D](#page-6-0) and E), the percentage of colonization by Staphylococcus was low in the first week (23.5% colonized) but increased at weeks 2 and 3 (45% colonized infants) whereas the levels of colonization remained low (median 2.6 log_{10} at week 1, 3.6 log_{10} at week 2). There was substantial colonization by Enterococcus and Enterobacteriaceae in the first week (59% and 82.3%, respectively) which increased during weeks 2 and 3 (81.8% -100 %, respectively). Colonization levels by lactobacilli were low in the first week (median 2 log_{10}) and increased in the second and third weeks (median 5.9 log_{10}), despite a slight increase in colonization percentage (less than 18% colonized at weeks $2-3$). Anaerobic colonization for FTI was low during the first week and increased only slightly during the second and third weeks. Only Clostridium cluster I increased largely both in the level and percentage of colonization (median 5 log_{10} and 54.5% colonized). The percentages of colonization for PTI and FTI are shown in supplementary file S2.

3.3. Impact of delivery mode, gestational age, type of feeding, and antibiotic treatment on the gut bacterial establishment in PTI

3.3.1. Delivery mode

The mode of delivery only modestly influenced the gut composition of preterm newborns (supplementary file S3). PTI

^a Significant difference between preterm infants and full-term infants.

b No significant difference observed between the two groups.

delivered by cesarean section were more highly colonized by Staphylococcus than vaginally delivered PTI (87.1% vs. 62.5% at week 1) but less colonized by Enterococcus (65% vs. 75% at week 1). In addition, vaginally delivered PTI were also more highly colonized, but not significantly, by Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, and C. leptum groups than those delivered by cesarean. Despite slightly higher colonization of vaginally delivered PTI by the Bacteroides/Prevotella group at week 1, the difference was no longer observable by weeks 2 and 3.

3.3.2. Gestational age

GA had a small impact on the intestinal microbiota of PTI (data not shown). We observed no significant differences in colonization between PTI born before a GA of 33 weeks (extremely preterm and very preterm) and PTI born between 33 and 37 weeks (moderate to late preterm).

3.3.3. Type of feeding

The type of feeding affected the composition of the intestinal microbiota [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-7-0). We observed no significant differences between breast-fed and formula-fed infants after one week of life, but there was a significant difference after two and three weeks of life with greater colonization by the C. leptum group in breast-fed infants $(p = 0.05)$. Breast-feeding also favored colonization by Staphylococcus, Enterococcus, Lactobacillus, and Bifidobacterium with an increase in colonization rates from the second week of life through the fourth week [\(Fig. 2](#page-7-0)).

3.3.4. Antibiotic treatment

PTI were divided into three groups depending on the use and length of antibiotic treatment starting from birth: PTI without perinatal antibiotics, PTI with short antibiotic treatment (less than two days), PTI with longer antibiotic treatment (more than three days) [\(Fig. 3](#page-8-0)).

3.3.4.1. Week 1. Colonization by aerobes was not affected (Staphylococcus, Enterococcus, and Enterobacteriaceae) or slightly reduced (Lactobacillus) by antibiotics both in the short-term and long-term treated groups at week 1. Antibiotic treatment had a nonsignificant impact on anaerobe colonization, reducing colonization by Bifidobacterium from 86.7% in the non-treated group to 50% in the short-term treated group and 60% in the long-term treated group. Colonization by Bacteroides/Prevotella group was also lower in the long-term treated group (6.7%) relative to the non-treated group (20%), whereas it was only slightly lower (16.7%) in the short-term treated group. There was also a slight decrease in colonization by Clostridium cluster I from 20% to 16.7% in the shortterm treated group and 13.3% in the long-term treated group. Only colonization by C. leptum was significantly affected with the longterm treated group being less colonized than the non-treated group ($p = 0.044$).

3.3.4.2. Weeks 2 and 3. We observed no significant differences between treated and non-treated PTI at weeks 2 and 3 concerning colonization by the C. leptum group and other genera and taxonomic groups. PTI treated for a short period regained a similar level of colonization by Bifidobacterium as non-treated PTI (83.3% vs. 87.5%), whereas it was slightly lower for long-term treated infants (75%). Colonization by Clostridium cluster I was higher in PTI following long course treatment (41.6%) than in non-treated PTI (12.5%) or PTI treated for a short period (33.3%).

3.4. Analysis of the bacterial establishment by TTGE

TTGE profiles revealed low species diversity in both PTI and FTI groups. The fecal microbiota in PTI and FTI were very simple at week 1 with between one and 13 major bands (mean value: 4.4) in individual PTI and between 1 and 9 (mean value: 4.8) in FTI. The fecal microbiota were slightly more diversified at weeks 2 and 3 with between two and 13 bands (mean value: 6) in PTI and two and 10 bands (mean value: 6.8) in FTI.

[Fig. 4](#page-9-0) shows the TTGE profiles of two PTI labeled C45 and C66. Samples collected at different days of life represent the colonization process and the establishment of intestinal microbiota in these two infants. Infant C45 showed the typical colonization process of PTI in our study: initial colonization by Staphylococcus and Bifidobacterium in the first week, an increase in diversity by weeks 2 and 3, with the presence of dominant bands of members of the Enterobacteriaceae family (Citrobacter freundii), Enterococcus, and Bifidobacterium. Infant C66 showed initial colonization by E. faecium and S. epidermidis and a typical delay in the colonization by Bifidobacterium during the first weeks of life due to broad-spectrum antibiotic treatment (imipenem) from day 1 to day 10 of life.

Cluster analysis of TTGE profiles of PTI and FTI at week1 and weeks $2-3$ (referred to as week 2.5 on the cluster analysis shown in [Fig. 5](#page-10-0)) was performed using the UPGMA method based on the Dice similarity coefficient using Diversity Database software (Bio-Rad). Comparison of stool samples of PTI reveal no particular clusterization, showing that the samples were diverse at week 1 and weeks 2 -3 . However, microbiota of FTI at week 1 and weeks 2 -3 formed mainly two clusters ([Fig. 5\)](#page-10-0), depending on the sampling time, with very few exceptions. Each cluster presents many common species, the first one (cluster 1) consists mainly of FTI infants at weeks $2-3$ and the second (cluster 2) mainly of FTI infants at week 1. PTI with profiles found near cluster 2 were mostly born at a GA of more than 34 weeks and were vaginally delivered. Likewise, cluster analysis of week 2-3 samples of PTI and FTI show that the microbiota profiles of some FTI were very similar to those of PTI. Close examination of FTI TTGE profiles revealed that they are less colonized by

Fig. 1. Bacterial colonization in preterm infants. 1A at week 1 (n = 48), 1B at weeks 2-3 (n = 52), 1C at week 4 (n = 27), and in full-term infants 1D at week 1 (n = 17) and 1E at weeks $2 - 3$ (n = 17).

Fig. 2. Bacterial colonization in breast-fed infants: 2A at week 1 (n = 38), 2B at weeks 2-3 (n = 41), 2C at week 4 (n = 20); and in formula-fed infants: 2D at week 1 (n = 10), 2E at weeks $2-3$ (n = 11), **2F** at week 4 (n = 9).

Bifidobacterium spp than PTI as revealed by sequenced bands on the TTGE profiles. In addition, PTI twins C63-C64 revealed almost identical TTGE profiles (at ;~0.80 cut-off point) whereas all other twins and triplets $C58 - C59 - C60$ had different TTGE profiles (with less than 0.50 cut-off point), suggesting high inter-individual variability.

Cluster analysis of all PTI samples reveals that samples of more than 12 infants from weeks $2-3$ and week 4 tended to cluster together, suggesting that the intestinal microbiota does not change between these two sampling windows (data not shown).

4. Discussion

Several studies have been conducted throughout the world on the establishment and development of the intestinal microbiota of FTI living in industrialized countries [\[6,7\],](#page-11-0) but there have been

Fig. 3. Histograms showing intestinal microbiota analyses for PTI receiving no antibiotic treatment (white bars), a short course of antibiotics (white with dots), or a long course of antibiotics (grey bars) at week 1 and weeks 2 & 3. Bars show the percentage of colonization and geometric forms (rhombus, squares and circles) showing the level of colonization by each genus or group in colonized infants. Staph = Staphylococcus; EC = Enterococcus; EB = Enterobacteria; LB = Lactobacillus; Bifid = Bifidobacterium; Bact = Bacteroides; Cl I = Clostridium cluster I; Cl XI = Clostridium cluster XI; C. cocc = Clostridium coccoides group; C. lept = Clostridium leptum group.

Bact □NO ATB (%) □Short ATB (%) ■Long ATB (%) ◇NO ATB (m) ■Short ATB (m) ●Long ATB (m)

Z

 $Cl₁$

CI XI

fewer surveys of PTI and no literature is available on the Lebanese population. To our knowledge, this is the first study on the intestinal microbiota of Lebanese PTI and the first analysis of the effect of perinatal factors on intestinal colonization in Lebanon and the middle-east region, where medical practices may vary from those of western countries.

EC

Staph

EB

LB

Bifid

60

 50

 40

 30 20

 10 Ω

Here, our results show an altered pattern of intestinal bacterial colonization in PTI relative to that of FTI, with higher levels of facultative anaerobes and delayed colonization by anaerobes during the first weeks of life (except for Bifidobacterium spp). This bacterial establishment pattern is in agreement with studies from other countries $[20-25]$ $[20-25]$ $[20-25]$.

After one week of life, the gut microbiota in PTI was dominated by staphylococci, whereas colonization by enterococci and Enterobacteriaceae was delayed and colonization by anaerobes was low except for Bifidobacterium spp. By the first month of life, PTI were mostly colonized by facultative anaerobes, predominantly

Enterobacteriaceae as shown by others [\[22,26\].](#page-11-0) This dysbiosis may be due to the fact that PTI were rapidly separated from their mothers after birth, placed in NICU incubators, and subjected to invasive procedures that may favor nosocomial infections, resulting in greater exposure to environmental bacteria than to bacteria originating from the mother.

C. lept

CFU/a

6 go

 Δ

 \mathcal{P}

n

C. cocc

We observed in PTI a high frequency of colonization by Bifidobacterium (80.7%) by the third week of life, in contrast to previous studies $[20-22,27]$ $[20-22,27]$. However, these studies included infants born at a low or very low GA. The median GA in our population (32.8 weeks) corresponds to the threshold observed in Butel's study for colonization by Bifidobacterium $[28]$. In our work, only six of the 66 preterm infants were born below 30 weeks of gestation, of whom only 50% were colonized by Bifidobacterium by one month of life. This high frequency of Bifidobacterium colonization may also be related to the high frequency of breastfeeding (78.8% in PTI). Breast milk in our hospital is not pasteurized and may harbor viable

Fig. 4. TTGE profiles of two PTI (cases 45 and 66) showing the colonization process at different weeks of life. The extreme left and extreme right lanes are markers of TTGE profiles of the following species (from top to bottom): Bacteroides sp., Prevotella sp., Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Escherichia coli and Bifidobacterium longum.

bacteria of maternal origin [\[29,30\]](#page-11-0). We recently analyzed 20 breastmilk samples, of which all were colonized by staphylococci and 30% by Bifidobacterium (Itani et al., unpublished data). These bacteria may participate in bacterial establishment in neonates, as shown in several studies [\[31\]](#page-11-0). Furthermore, all studied PTI received prebiotic oligosaccharide supplements (galactooligosaccharide) known to increase Bifidobacterium counts [\[32\].](#page-11-0)

Colonization by Bacteroides was also higher than that reported in the literature, but to a lesser extent than that by Bifidobacterium, likely due to the higher median GA of our PTI. Colonization by Clostridium cluster I, as observed in Ferraris's study, increased throughout the follow-up period, likely due to gut colonization from the environment by this anaerobic spore-forming genus [\[33\].](#page-11-0)

One of the limitations of our study is the fact that all infants came from the same center and were not followed after discharge from the NICU. A recent study showed differences in intestinal microbiota between two centers and over-time [\[34\]](#page-12-0). Another limitation corresponds to the low number of infants vaginally delivered, as PTI were mostly born by cesarean delivery. The influence of cesarean delivery on PTI has been little, as previously reported by several studies where no significant influence of this factor on microbiota composition and establishment was found $[22,26,28,35-37]$ $[22,26,28,35-37]$ $[22,26,28,35-37]$. We observed a non-significant trend toward delayed colonization by Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, and Bacteroides/Prevotella. At one month of life, all cesarean delivered PTI were more highly colonized by Clostridium cluster I, a genus involved in NEC. A recent study specifically designed to analyze the

influence of the mode of birth reported that vaginally delivered PTI had a higher frequency of Bacteroides colonization than those delivered by cesarean [\[38\]](#page-12-0). Faster development of the gut microbiota in cesarean delivered PTI was found in one study, but this may be due to confounding factors [\[35\].](#page-12-0)

Other factors such as the type of feeding and antibiotic treatment had a larger effect on intestinal colonization. At three weeks of life, breastfed PTI were more highly colonized by the C. leptum group, which colonizes the gut of FTI and adults. One representative of this group, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, is reported to play an important anti-inflammatory role in protecting against bowel disease and is deficient in patients suffering from Crohn's disease [\[39\].](#page-12-0) This may explain why formula-fed PTI are more prone to develop intestinal inflammatory disease and infection. Despite the fact that breast-fed PTI were more highly colonized by Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus during the first week of life, the difference was not significant later. This may also be due to the small number of formula-fed PTI who were mostly breastfed or fed a mixed diet. Antibiotic administration during the first hours of life reduced the level of intestinal colonization by the Clostridium leptum group and Bifidobacterium, likely due to their susceptibility to both amoxicillin and cefotaxime $[40]$, the antibiotics most commonly administrated to PTI. In contrast, the levels of Clostridium cluster I colonization were higher. In a study including very low birth weight infants, Arboleya et al. reported that perinatal antibiotics, including intrapartum prophylaxis, strongly affected the gut microbiota with an increase in *Enterobacteriaceae* [\[41\]](#page-12-0). These antibiotic-mediated

Fig. 5. Dendrogram of TTGE profiles of PTI and FTI at week 1 and weeks 2-3. Samples from twins were marked by symbols next to the abbreviation: C5-C6 represented by *, C31-C32 represented by #, C45-C46 represented by \bullet , C54-C55 represented by \Box , C63-C64 represented by \triangle , C70-C71 represented by \bullet , triplets C58-C59-C60 represented by \star .

alterations have been reported to increase the risk of late-onset sepsis and NEC [13].

TTGE analysis in our study revealed a high degree of interindividual variability as previously described by others [20,35,42]. Samples from FTI tended to cluster into two main groups, which show a different profile of colonization despite the presence of very common species, including Klebsiella pneumoniae and E. coli, whereas PTI were more highly colonized by E. cloacae. Vaginally delivered PTI born at a GA of more than 34 weeks clustered close to FTI. This may be due to several factors which may act synergistically to favor bacterial colonization of the gut: (i) a higher degree of intestinal maturation, (ii) a closer relationship with the mother and her microbiota, (iii) fewer perinatal factors that can reduce bacterial colonization (vaginal delivery rather than cesarean delivery, less antibiotic courses …). This more favorable bacterial equilibrium can decrease the risk of disease.

In summary, this study identified qualitative and quantitative differences in the establishment and development of the intestinal microbiota in PTI relative to that of FTI. It showed a progressive development of the diversity of the intestinal microbiota in the first month of life. Most PTI had staphylococci in their stools at week 1 and Enterobacteriaceae beyond week 2. Many PTI were colonized by Bifidobacterium spp. probably due to daily prebiotic supplementation of Lebanese PTI and a higher GA of PTI than those in other studies that focused on extremely preterm infants. Moreover, this study highlights the effects of antibiotic administration on the establishment of the intestinal microbiota, especially on the C. leptum group. Our data support the need for a multi-site study that includes a larger number of vaginally-delivered and formulafed infants to better understand the association between intestinal microbes and intestinal diseases of preterm infants, such as NEC.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Acknowledgements

Funding: This work was supported by the Saint-Joseph University Research Council (project number FPH38) and by the Lebanese National Council for Scientific Research (project number 25/2920).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at [http://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2016.11.001) [dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2016.11.001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2016.11.001)

References

- [1] [P.A. Mackowiak, Recycling Metchnikoff: probiotics, the intestinal microbiome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref1) [and the quest for long life, Front. Public Health 1 \(2013\) 52.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref1)
- [2] [H.J. Flint, K.P. Scott, P. Louis, S.H. Duncan, The role of the gut microbiota in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref2) [nutrition and health, Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 9 \(2012\) 577](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref2)-[589.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref2)
- [3] [I. Cho, M.J. Blaser, The human microbiome: at the interface of health and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref3) [disease, Nat. Rev. Genet. 13 \(2012\) 260](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref3)-[270.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref3)
- [4] [K.E. Fujimura, N.A. Slusher, M.D. Cabana, S.V. Lynch, Role of the gut microbiota](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref4) in defi[ning human health, Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther. 8 \(2010\) 435](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref4)–[454](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref4).
- [5] A.M. Shefl[in, A.K. Whitney, T.L. Weir, Cancer-promoting effects of microbial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref5) [dysbiosis, Curr. Oncol. Rep. 16 \(2014\) 406.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref5)
- [6] [I. Adlerberth, A.E. Wold, Establishment of the gut microbiota in Western in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref6)[fants, Acta Paediatr. 98 \(2009\) 229](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref6)-[238.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref6)
- [7] [J. Neu, Developmental aspects of maternal-fetal, and infant gut microbiota and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref7) [implications for long-term health, Matern. Health Neonatol. Perinatol. 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref7) (2015) 6.
- [8] [M.C. Collado, S. Rautava, J. Aakko, E. Isolauri, S. Salminen, Human gut colo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref8)[nisation may be initiated in utero by distinct microbial communities in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref8) placenta and amniotic fl[uid, Sci. Rep. 6 \(2016\) 23129.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref8)
- [9] [F. Guaraldi, G. Salvatori, Effect of breast and formula feeding on gut microbiota](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref9)

[shaping in newborns, Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol. 2 \(2012\) 94](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref9).

- [10] [G. Biasucci, M. Rubini, S. Riboni, L. Morelli, E. Bessi, C. Retetangos, Mode of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref10) [delivery affects the bacterial community in the newborn gut, Early Hum. Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref10) [86 \(Suppl. 1\) \(2010\) 13](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref10)-[15.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref10)
- [11] [E. Rutayisire, K. Huang, Y. Liu, F. Tao, The mode of delivery affects the diversity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref11) [and colonization pattern of the gut microbiota during the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref11) first year of infants' [life: a systematic review, BMC Gastroenterol. 16 \(2016\) 86](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref11).
- [12] [S. Tanaka, T. Kobayashi, P. Songjinda, A. Tateyama, M. Tsubouchi, C. Kiyohara,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref12) [T. Shirakawa, K. Sonomoto, J. Nakayama, In](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref12)fluence of antibiotic exposure in [the early postnatal period on the development of intestinal microbiota, FEMS](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref12) [Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 56 \(2009\) 80](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref12)-[87](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref12).
- [13] [J. Neu, The microbiome and its impact on disease in the preterm patient, Curr.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref13) [Pediatr. Rep. 1 \(2013\) 215](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref13)-[221](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref13).
- [14] [M. Fallani, D. Young, J. Scott, E. Norin, S. Amarri, R. Adam, M. Aguilera,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref14) [S. Khanna, A. Gil, C.A. Edwards, J. Dore, Intestinal microbiota of 6-week-old](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref14) infants across Europe: geographic infl[uence beyond delivery mode, breast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref14)[feeding, and antibiotics, J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr. 51 \(2010\) 77](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref14)-[84.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref14)
- [15] [M.W. Groer, K.E. Gregory, A. Louis-Jacques, S. Thibeau, W.A. Walker, The very](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref15) [low birth weight infant microbiome and childhood health, Birth Defects Res. C](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref15) [Embryo Today 105 \(2015\) 252](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref15)-[264.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref15)
- [16] [M.J. Morowitz, V. Poroyko, M. Caplan, J. Alverdy, D.C. Liu, Rede](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref16)fining the role [of intestinal microbes in the pathogenesis of necrotizing enterocolitis, Pedi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref16)[atrics 125 \(2010\) 777](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref16)-[785](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref16).
- [17] [E.C. Claud, K.P. Keegan, J.M. Brulc, L. Lu, D. Bartels, E. Glass, E.B. Chang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref17) [F. Meyer, D.A. Antonopoulos, Bacterial community structure and functional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref17) [contributions to emergence of health or necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref17) [infants, Microbiome 1 \(2013\) 20.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref17)
- [18] [C.N. Emami, M. Petrosyan, S. Giuliani, M. Williams, C. Hunter, N.V. Prasadarao,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref18) [H.R. Ford, Role of the host defense system and intestinal microbial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref18) flora in the [pathogenesis of necrotizing enterocolitis, Surg. Infect. \(Larchmt\) 10 \(2009\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref18) $407 - 417$ $407 - 417$
- [19] [J. Aakko, A. Endo, C. Mangani, K. Maleta, P. Ashorn, E. Isolauri, S. Salminen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref19) [Distinctive intestinal Lactobacillus communities in 6-Month-Old infants from](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref19) [rural Malawi and Southwestern Finland, J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr. 61](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref19) $(2015) 641 - 648.$ $(2015) 641 - 648.$ $(2015) 641 - 648.$
- [20] [F. Magne, M. Abely, F. Boyer, P. Morville, P. Pochart, A. Suau, Low species](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref20) [diversity and high diversity variability in feces of preterm infants as revealed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref20) [by 16s rDNA sequences and PCR-TTGE pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref20)files, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 57 (2006) 128 -138 .
- [21] [F. Aujoulat, L. Roudiere, J.C. Picaud, A. Jacquot, A. Filleron, D. Neveu, T.P. Baum,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref21) [H. Marchandin, E. Jumas-Bilak, Temporal dynamics of the very premature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref21) [infant gut dominant microbiota, BMC Microbiol. 14 \(2014\) 325.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref21)
- [22] [S. Arboleya, A. Binetti, N. Salazar, N. Fernandez, G. Solis, A. Hernandez-Bar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref22)[ranco, A. Margolles, C.G. de los Reyes-Gavilan, M. Gueimonde, Establishment](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref22) [and development of intestinal microbiota in preterm neonates, FEMS Micro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref22)[biol. Ecol. 79 \(2012\) 763](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref22)-[772.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref22)
- [23] [C. Rouge, O. Goldenberg, L. Ferraris, B. Berger, F. Rochat, A. Legrand, U.B. Gobel,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref23) [M. Vodovar, M. Voyer, J.C. Roze, D. Darmaun, H. Piloquet, M.J. Butel, M.F. De La](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref23) [Cochetiere, Investigation of the intestinal microbiota in preterm infants using](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref23) different methods, Anaerobe $16(2010)$ 362-[370](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref23).
- [24] [P.S. La Rosa, B.B. Warner, Y. Zhou, G.M. Weinstock, E. Sodergren, C.M. Hall-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref24)[Moore, H.J. Stevens, W.E. Bennett Jr., N. Shaikh, L.A. Linneman, J.A. Hoffmann,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref24) [A. Hamvas, E. Deych, B.A. Shands, W.D. Shannon, P.I. Tarr, Patterned pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref24)[gression of bacterial populations in the premature infant gut, Proc. Natl. Acad.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref24) [Sci. U. S. A. 111 \(2014\) 12522](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref24)-[12527](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref24).
- [25] [X. Cong, W. Xu, S. Janton, W.A. Henderson, A. Matson, J.M. McGrath, K. Maas,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref25) Graf, Gut Microbiome developmental patterns in early life of preterm in[fants: impacts of feeding and gender, PLoS One 11 \(2016\) e0152751](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref25).
- [26] [V. Mai, C.M. Young, M. Ukhanova, X. Wang, Y. Sun, G. Casella, D. Theriaque,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref26) [N. Li, R. Sharma, M. Hudak, J. Neu, Fecal microbiota in premature infants prior](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref26) [to necrotizing enterocolitis, PLoS One 6 \(2011\) e20647](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref26).
- [27] [S. Arboleya, L. Ang, A. Margolles, L. Yiyuan, Z. Dongya, X. Liang, G. Solis,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref27) [N. Fernandez, C.G. de los Reyes-Gavilan, M. Gueimonde, Deep 16S rRNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref27) [metagenomics and quantitative PCR analyses of the premature infant fecal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref27) [microbiota, Anaerobe 18 \(2012\) 378](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref27)-[380](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref27).
- [28] [M.J. Butel, A. Suau, F. Campeotto, F. Magne, J. Aires, L. Ferraris, N. Kalach,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref28) [B. Leroux, C. Dupont, Conditions of bi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref28)fidobacterial colonization in preterm [infants: a prospective analysis, J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr. 44 \(2007\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref28) [577](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref28)-[582.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref28)
- [29] [R. Martin, E. Jimenez, H. Heilig, L. Fernandez, M.L. Marin, E.G. Zoetendal,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref29) J.M. Rodriguez, Isolation of bifi[dobacteria from breast milk and assessment of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref29) the bifi[dobacterial population by PCR-DGGE and qRTi-PCR, Appl. Environ.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref29) [Microbiol. 75 \(2009\) 965](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref29)-[969](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref29).
- [30] [G. Solis, C.G. de los Reyes-Gavilan, N. Fernandez, A. Margolles, M. Gueimonde,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref30) [Establishment and development of lactic acid bacteria and bi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref30)fidobacteria microbiota in breast-milk and the infant gut, Anaerobe 16 (2010) 307 -310 -310 .
- [31] [S. Salminen, A. Endo, E. Isolauri, D. Scalabrin, Early Gut colonization with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref31) [lactobacilli and staphylococcus in infants: the hygiene hypothesis extended,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref31) [J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr. 62 \(2016\) 80](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref31)-[86.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref31)
- [32] [C. Braegger, A. Chmielewska, T. Decsi, S. Kolacek, W. Mihatsch, L. Moreno,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref32) [M. Piescik, J. Puntis, R. Shamir, H. Szajewska, D. Turck, G.J. van, Supplemen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref32)[tation of infant formula with probiotics and/or prebiotics: a systematic review](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref32) [and comment by the ESPGHAN committee on nutrition, J. Pediatr. Gastro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref32)[enterol. Nutr. 52 \(2011\) 238](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref32)-[250.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref32)
- [33] [L. Ferraris, M.J. Butel, F. Campeotto, M. Vodovar, J.C. Roze, J. Aires, Clostridia in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref33)

[premature neonates' gut: incidence, antibiotic susceptibility, and perinatal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref33) determinants infl[uencing colonization, PLoS One 7 \(2012\) e30594](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref33).

- [34] [D.H. Taft, N. Ambalavanan, K.R. Schibler, Z. Yu, D.S. Newburg, D.V. Ward,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref34) [A.L. Morrow, Intestinal microbiota of preterm infants differ over time and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref34) [between hospitals, Microbiome 2 \(2014\) 36](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref34).
- [35] [A. Jacquot, D. Neveu, F. Aujoulat, G. Mercier, H. Marchandin, E. Jumas-Bilak,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref35) [J.C. Picaud, Dynamics and clinical evolution of bacterial gut micro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref35)flora in extremely premature patients, J. Pediatr. 158 (2010) 390-[396](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref35).
- [36] [M. Mshvildadze, J. Neu, J. Shuster, D. Theriaque, N. Li, V. Mai, Intestinal mi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref36)[crobial ecology in premature infants assessed with non-culture-based tech](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref36)[niques, J. Pediatr. 156 \(2010\) 20](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref36) -25 .
- [37] [E. Normann, A. Fahlen, L. Engstrand, H.E. Lilja, Intestinal microbial pro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref37)files in [extremely preterm infants with and without necrotizing enterocolitis, Acta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref37) Paediatr $(102/2013)$ 129-[136](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref37)
- [38] [K.E. Gregory, R.D. LaPlante, G. Shan, D.V. Kumar, M. Gregas, Mode of birth](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref38) infl[uences preterm infant intestinal colonization with bacteroides over the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref38)

[Early Neonatal Period, Adv. Neonatal Care 15 \(2015\) 386](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref38)-[393.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref38)

- [39] [A. Swidsinski, V. Loening-Baucke, M. Vaneechoutte, Y. Doerffel, Active Crohn's](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref39) [disease and ulcerative colitis can be speci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref39)fically diagnosed and monitored [based on the biostructure of the fecal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref39) flora, Inflamm. Bowel Dis. 14 (2008) $147 - 161.$ $147 - 161.$ $147 - 161.$
- [40] [C. Moubareck, F. Gavini, L. Vaugien, M.J. Butel, F. Doucet-Populaire, Antimi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref40)crobial susceptibility of bifi[dobacteria, J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 55 \(2005\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref40) $38 - 44.$ $38 - 44.$ $38 - 44.$ $38 - 44.$
- [41] [S. Arboleya, B. Sanchez, C. Milani, S. Duranti, G. Solis, N. Fernandez, C.G. de los](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref41) [Reyes-Gavilan, M. Ventura, A. Margolles, M. Gueimonde, Intestinal microbiota](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref41) [development in preterm neonates and effect of perinatal antibiotics, J. Pediatr.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref41) [166 \(2015\) 538](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref41)–[544.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref41)
- [42] [L. Moles, M. Gomez, H. Heilig, G. Bustos, S. Fuentes, V.W. De, L. Fernandez,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref42) [J.M. Rodriguez, E. Jimenez, Bacterial diversity in meconium of preterm neo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref42)[nates and evolution of their fecal microbiota during the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref42) first month of life, [PLoS One 8 \(2013\) e66986](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1075-9964(16)30136-6/sref42).