

1-1-2019

Neutrosophic cubic Heronian mean operators with applications in multiple attribute group decision-making using cosine similarity functions

Muhammad Gulistan
Hazara University Pakistan

Mutaz Mohammad
Zayed University, mutaz.mohammad@zu.ac.ae

Faruk Karaaslan
Çankiri Karatekin Üniversitesi

Seifedine Kadry
Beirut Arab University

Salma Khan
Hazara University Pakistan

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works>



Part of the [Life Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation


Gulistan, Muhammad; Mohammad, Mutaz; Karaaslan, Faruk; Kadry, Seifedine; Khan, Salma; and Wahab, Hafiz Abdul, "Neutrosophic cubic Heronian mean operators with applications in multiple attribute group decision-making using cosine similarity functions" (2019). *All Works*. 2495.
<https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works/2495>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ZU Scholars. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Works by an authorized administrator of ZU Scholars. For more information, please contact scholars@zu.ac.ae.

Author First name, Last name, Institution

Muhammad Gulistan, Mutaz Mohammad, Faruk Karaaslan, Seifedine Kadry, Salma Khan, and Hafiz Abdul Wahab

Neutrosophic cubic Heronian mean operators with applications in multiple attribute group decision-making using cosine similarity functions

International Journal of Distributed
Sensor Networks
2019, Vol. 15(9)
© The Author(s) 2019
DOI: 10.1177/1550147719877613
journals.sagepub.com/home/dsn


Muhammad Gulistan¹ , Mutaz Mohammad², Faruk Karaaslan³,
Seifedine Kadry⁴, Salma Khan¹ and Hafiz Abdul Wahab¹

Abstract

This article introduces the concept of Heronian mean operators, geometric Heronian mean operators, neutrosophic cubic number–improved generalized weighted Heronian mean operators, neutrosophic cubic number–improved generalized weighted geometric Heronian mean operators. These operators actually generalize the operators of fuzzy sets, cubic sets, and neutrosophic sets. We investigate the average weighted operator on neutrosophic cubic sets and weighted geometric operator on neutrosophic cubic sets to aggregate the neutrosophic cubic information. After this, based on average weighted and geometric weighted and cosine similarity function in neutrosophic cubic sets, we developed a multiple attribute group decision-making method. Finally, we give a mathematical example to illustrate the usefulness and application of the proposed method.

Keywords

Neutrosophic set, neutrosophic cubic set, Heronian mean operator, geometric Heronian mean operator, multiple attribute decision-making problem

Date received: 18 December 2018; accepted: 17 August 2019

Handling Editor: Mohamed Abdel-Basset

Introduction

The multi-attribute decision-making (MADM) or multi-attribute group decision-making (MAGDM) widely existed in the field of management, military, economy, and engineering techniques^{1–3} to get an accurate evaluation information in the premises of decision makers (DMs) to make feasible and rational decision. There is a variety of limitations in real-world problems such as uncertainty and complexity of the decision-making environment, too much abundant data and inconsistent and indeterminate with respect to fuzzy information. To process this kind of information, in 1965 Zadeh⁴ first introduced the fuzzy set (FS) theory. After that Atanassov proposed the intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS).^{5,6} In IFS, Atassanav added a

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Hazara University, Mansehra, Pakistan

²Department of Mathematics, Zayed University, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Çankırı Karatekin University, Çankırı, Turkey

⁴Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Beirut Arab University, Beirut, Lebanon

Corresponding authors:

Muhammad Gulistan, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Hazara University, Mansehra 21130, Pakistan.

Email: gulistanmath@hu.edu.pk; gulistanm21@yahoo.com

Mutaz Mohammad, Department of Mathematics, Zayed University, Abu Dhabi 144534, United Arab Emirates.

Email: Mutaz.Mohammad@zu.ac.ae



non-membership function to decrease the shortcomings in which the FS has only the membership function whereas the IFS is composed of the truth-membership function and falsity-membership function and satisfies the conditions $A_{Tru}(u), A_{Fal}(x) \in [0, 1]$ and $0 \leq A_{Tru}(u) + A_{Fal}(x) \leq 1$. Moreover, in 1998 Smarandache⁷ defined the neutrosophic set (NS). In NS, Smarandache added indeterminacy-membership function, that is, NS is characterized by truth-membership $A_{Tru}(u)$, indeterminacy-membership $A_{Ind}(u)$, and falsity-membership $A_{Fal}(u)$. Moreover, the NS is the generalization of FS and IFSs. For applications point of view we refer the readers.⁸⁻¹⁰ Further, Jun et al., proposed the concept of neutrosophic cubic set (NCS) by adding truth-membership $A_{Tru}(u)$, indeterminacy-membership $A_{Ind}(u)$, and falsity-membership $A_{Fal}(u)$ in the form of interval NS and truth-membership $A_{Tru}(u)$, indeterminacy-membership $A_{Ind}(u)$ and falsity-membership $A_{Fal}(u)$ in the form of NS.¹¹ Al-Omeri and Smarandache¹² introduce the idea of neutrosophic sets via neutrosophic topological spaces (NTs), and some other types of NSs such as neutrosophic open sets, neutrosophic continuity, and their application in geographical information system. NCS is the generalization of FS, cubic set, and NS. Many researchers used NCSs in different directions such as,¹³⁻¹⁸ to have more applications. So many others discussed different aspects of NCS environment on MADM like, Peng et al.,¹⁹ Zhang et al.,²⁰ Ye,^{21,22} Shi and Ye,²³ Lu and Ye,²⁴ Pramanik et al.,²⁵⁻²⁸ GRA²⁹ and Dalapati and Pramanik,³⁰ Liu and Wang³¹ proposed the aggregation operator and applied in MAGDM problems. NS theory has various applications in numerous fields such as data record, control theory, problems and decision-making theory. Xu and Yazer³² and Xu³³ proposed some arithmetic aggregation operators and geometric aggregation operators for intuitionistic fuzzy information and these operators did not consider the correlations of aggregated arguments. After that, in 2007 Beliakov et al.³⁴ proposed the Heronian mean (HM) operators, which are an important aggregated arguments and possess the characteristic of correlation of aggregation operators. HM operators can deal with the interactions among the attribute values and neutrosophic cubic numbers (NCNs) can easily express the incomplete, indeterminate and inconsistent information. Liu (The research note of HM operators. Shandong University of Finance and Economics, 2012, personal communication) in 2012 extended HM operator to the generalized HM operator.³⁵ Yu and Wu³⁶ studied the interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy information aggregation operators and their applications in decision-making. Further work to aggregate the interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy information Liu³⁷ proposed some operators such as generalized interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy

Heronian mean (GIIFHM) operator, generalized interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy weighted Heronian mean (GIIFWHM) operator, an interval-valued intuitionistic uncertain linguistic weighted geometric average (IVIULWGA) operator, an interval-valued intuitionistic uncertain linguistic ordered weighted geometric (IVIULOWG) operators and also developed the idea of interval-valued intuitionistic uncertain linguistic variables, decision-making problems and their operational laws. Yu³⁸ proposed the idea of decision-making problems under intuitionistic fuzzy environment and introduced some aggregation operators, such as the intuitionistic fuzzy geometric Heronian mean (IFGHM) operators and the intuitionistic fuzzy geometric weighed Heronian mean (IFGWHM) operators and their properties. Liu et al.,³⁹ proposed the aggregation operator and applied in MAGDM problems. We extend the idea of Li et al.,⁴⁰ provided in Liu et al.³⁹ Therefore, in this article, we will extend neutrosophic numbers (NNs) to NCNs, and propose some HM operators for NCNs, including the improved generalized weighted geometric Heronian mean (IGWGHM) operators which can satisfy some properties, such as reducibility, idempotency, monotonicity and boundedness. At the end, these properties are applied to multi-attribute group decision-making problem (Figure 1).

Preliminaries

In this section, we give some helpful terminologies from the existing literature.

Definition 1 (NS). Let U be a non-empty set.⁷ A neutrosophic set in U is a structure of the form $A = \{u; A_{Tru}(u), A_{Ind}(u), A_{Fal}(u) | u \in U\}$, is characterized by a truth-membership Tru , indeterminacy-membership Ind and falsity-membership Fal , where $A_{Tru}, A_{Ind}, A_{Fal} : U \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $0 \leq A_{Tru}(u) + A_{Ind}(u) + A_{Fal}(u) \leq 3$.

Definition 2 (NCS). Let X be a non-empty set.¹¹ A NCS over U is defined in the form of a pair $\Omega = (\mathring{A}, \Lambda)$ where $\mathring{A} = \{(x; \mathring{A}_{Tru}(u), \mathring{A}_{Ind}(u), \mathring{A}_{Fal}(u)) | u \in U\}$ is an interval NS in U and $\Lambda = \{(u; \Lambda_{Tru}(u), \Lambda_{Ind}(u), \Lambda_{Fal}(u)) | u \in U\}$ is a NS in U .

Definition 3 (HM operator). A HM operator of dimension n is a mapping $HM : I^n \rightarrow I$ such that (The research note of HM operators. Shandong University of Finance and Economics, 2012, personal communication)

$$HM(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n \sqrt{u_i u_j} \quad (1)$$




Figure 1. A flowchart of NCNs based on MAGDM problem.

where $I = [0,1]$ then the function HM is called Heroinan mean (HM) operator.

Definition 4 (geometric Heronian mean operator). A GHM operator of dimension n is a mapping $\text{GHM} : I^n \rightarrow I$ such that (The research note of HM operators. Shandong University of Finance and Economics, 2012, personal communication)

$$\text{GHM}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \left(\frac{2}{n(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n u_i^x u_j^y \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \quad (2)$$

where $x, y \geq 0$ and $I = [0, 1]$. Then the function $\text{GHM}^{x,y}$ is called generalized Heroinan mean (GHM) operator. It is easy to prove that GHM operator has the following properties:

Theorem 1 (idempotency). Let $u_j = u \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\text{GHM}^{x,y}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = u$$

Theorem 2 (monotonicity). Suppose (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) and (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) be two collections of non-negative numbers, if $u_j \leq v_j \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\text{GHM}^{x,y}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \leq \text{GHM}^{x,y}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$$

Theorem 3 (boundedness). GHM operator lies between the max and min operators, that is

$$\min(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \leq \text{GHM}^{x,y}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \leq \max(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$$

Since the HM and geometric mean (GM) operator only consider the interrelationship of the e input arguments and do not take their own weights into account. In the following, we will introduce another HM operator which is called the weighted generalized Heronian mean (GWHM) operator and shown as follows.

Definition 5. Let $x, y \geq 0$ and $u_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of non-negative numbers.³⁶ $W = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ is the weight vector of $u_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and satisfies $w_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, if

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{GWHM}^{p,q}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{n(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n (w_i u_i)^x (w_j u_j)^y \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

then $\text{GWHM}^{p,q}$ is called a generalized weighted HM (GWHM) operator.

Definition 6.(The GHM operator). Let $x, y \geq 0$, and $u_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of non-negative numbers, if³⁷

$$\text{GGHM}^{x,y}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{x+y} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n (x u_i + y u_j)^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)}} \quad (4)$$

The $\text{GGHM}^{x,y}$ is called the generalized geometric Heronian (GGHM) operator.

Definition 7. Let $x, y \geq 0$, and $u_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of non-negative numbers.³⁸ $W = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ is the weight vector of $u_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and satisfies $w_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, if

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{GGWHM}^{p,q}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{x+y} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n ((xu_i)^{w_i} + (yu_j)^{w_j})^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)}} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

then $\text{GGWHM}^{p,q}$ is called the generalized geometric weighted Heronian mean (GGWHM) operator.

Definition 8. Let $x, y \geq 0$ and $u_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of non-negative numbers (The research note of HM operators. Shandong University of Finance and Economics, 2012, personal communication). $W = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ is the weight vector of $u_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and satisfies $w_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, if

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{IGGWHM}^{p,q}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{x+y} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n (xu_i + yu_j)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)w_j}{n(n+1)} \sum_{k=i}^n w_k} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

then $\text{IGGWHM}^{p,q}$ is called the improved generalized geometric weighted Heronian mean (IGGWHM) operator.

The IGGWHM has the properties, such as reducibility, idempotency, monotonicity, and boundedness (The research note of HM operators. Shandong University of Finance and Economics, 2012, personal communication).

Theorem 4 (reducibility). Let $W = (1/n, 1/n, \dots, 1/n)^T$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{IGGWHM}^{p,q}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \\ &= \text{GGWHM}^{p,q}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Theorem 5 (idempotency). Let $x_j = x$, where $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ then

$$\text{IGGWHM}^{p,q}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = x \quad (8)$$

Theorem 6 (monotonicity). Suppose (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) and (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) be two collections of non-negative numbers, if $u_i \geq v_i \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{IGGWHM}^{x,y}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \\ & \geq \text{IGGWHM}^{x,y}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7 (boundedness). The $\text{IGGWHM}^{x,y}$ operator lies between the max and min operators, that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \min(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \leq \text{IGGWHM}^{x,y}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \\ & \leq \max(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We analyze some special cases of the IGGWHM operator which are defined as follows:

1. When $y = 0$, then

$$\text{IGGWHM}^{x,0}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n (u_i)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)w_j}{n(n+1)} \sum_{k=i}^n w_k} \quad (10)$$

From here we see that $\text{WGGWHM}^{x,0}$ does not have any relationship with x .

2. When $x = 0$, then

$$\text{IGGWHM}^{0,y}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^n (u_j)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)w_j}{n(n+1)} \sum_{k=i}^n w_k} \quad (11)$$

Similarly, $\text{IGGWHM}^{0,y}$ does not have any relationship with y .

3. When $x = y = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{IGGWHM}^{1,1}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (u_i + u_j)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)w_j}{n(n+1)} \sum_{k=i}^n w_k} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Definition 9 (cubic Hamy mean). Suppose (\tilde{v}_i, v_i) where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is a collection of non-negative real numbers and parameter $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.¹⁸ Then, the cubic Hamy mean (CHM) is defined as follows

$$\text{CHM}^k(\tilde{v}_i, v_i) = \frac{\sum_{1 \leq \hat{i}_1 < \dots < \hat{i}_k \leq n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \tilde{v}_{\hat{i}_j}, \prod_{j=1}^k v_{\hat{i}_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}}{\binom{n}{k}} \quad (13)$$

where $(\hat{i}_1, \hat{i}_2, \dots, \hat{i}_k)$ navigate all k -tuple arrangement of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $\binom{n}{k}$ is the binomial coefficient and $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$.

Definition 10. Let $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ be a finite set and two NCSs be $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and $y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ where $x_j = ((\tilde{T}_{xj}, \tilde{I}_{xj}, \tilde{F}_{xj}), (T_{xj}, I_{xj}, F_{xj}))$ and $y_j = ((\tilde{T}_{yj}, \tilde{I}_{yj}, \tilde{F}_{yj}), (T_{yj}, I_{yj}, F_{yj}))$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are two collections of NCNs.²⁴ Then cosine measure of $S(h)$ is proposed based on the distance as follows

$$\text{NCNIGWH}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) = \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(\frac{1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j)}{1 - A_{Tru}^x(u_i) A_{Tru}^y(u_j)} \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right. \\ \left. 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(\frac{1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}^x(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}^y(u_j))^y}{1 - (1 - A_{Ind}^x(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}^y(u_j))^y} \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right. \\ \left. 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(\frac{1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}^x(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}^y(u_j))^y}{1 - (1 - A_{Fal}^x(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Fal}^y(u_j))^y} \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \quad (16)$$

$$S(h) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \left\{ \cos \left(\frac{|\tilde{T}_{xj} - \tilde{T}_{yj}| + |\tilde{T}_{xj}^+ - \tilde{T}_{yj}^+| + |\tilde{T}_{xj}^- - \tilde{T}_{yj}^-| + |\tilde{I}_{xj}^+ - \tilde{I}_{yj}^+| + |\tilde{I}_{xj}^- - \tilde{I}_{yj}^-| + |\tilde{F}_{xj}^+ - \tilde{F}_{yj}^+| + |\tilde{F}_{xj}^- - \tilde{F}_{yj}^-|}{12} \pi \right) \right. \\ \left. + \cos \left(\frac{|T_{xj} - T_{yj}| + |I_{xj} - I_{yj}| + |F_{xj} - F_{yj}|}{6} \pi \right) \right\} \quad (14)$$

Some HM operator based on the NCN

In this section, we define cNCNIGWHM operator and NCNIGWGHM operator, their properties and different operations.

Definition 11 (the NCNIGWHM operator). Let $x, y \geq 0$, and $Q_j = (\tilde{R}_{aj}, S_{bj})$ where

$$\tilde{R}_{aj} = \{\tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j), \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j), \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j)\} \text{ and} \\ S_b = \{A_{Tru}(u_j), A_{Ind}(u_j), A_{Fal}(u_j)\}$$

($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a collection of NCNs with the weight vector $W = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ such that $w_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 1$, then an NCNIGWH operator of dimension n is a mapping $\text{NCNIGWH} : \Psi^n \rightarrow \Psi$, and has

$$\text{NCNIGWH}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) = \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (w_i w_j Q_i^x \otimes Q_j^y) \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \quad (15)$$

where Ψ is the set of all NCNs.

Theorem 8. Let $x, y \geq 0$, and $Q_j = (\tilde{R}_{aj}, S_{bj})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a collection of NCNs with the weight vector $W = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ such that $w_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 1$, then the result aggregated from Definition 11 is still an NCN, and even

Proof. Since

$$Q_i^x = \left\{ \left(\tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i), 1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x, 1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_i))^x \right) \right. \\ \left. \left(A_{Tru}^x(u_i), 1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x, 1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_i))^x \right) \right\}$$

$$Q_j^y = \left\{ \left(\tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j), 1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y, 1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j))^y \right) \right. \\ \left. \left(A_{Tru}^y(u_j), 1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y, 1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_j))^y \right) \right\}$$

$$Q_i^x Q_j^y = \left\{ \left(\tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j), 1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right) \right. \\ \left(A_{Tru}^x(u_i) A_{Tru}^y(u_j), 1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right) \right\}$$

and

$$w_i w_j Q_i^x \otimes Q_j^y = \left\{ \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right) \right. \\ \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \\ \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right\} \\ \left\{ \left(1 - (1 - A_{Tru}^x(u_i) A_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right) \right. \\ \left(1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \\ \left(1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Fal}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right\}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=i}^n (w_i w_j \mathcal{Q}_i^x \otimes \mathcal{Q}_j^y) \\
 &= \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \end{array} \right)^{w_i w_j} \right\} \\
 & \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - A_{Tru}^x(u_i) A_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Fal}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \end{array} \right)^{w_i w_j} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=i}^n (w_i w_j \mathcal{Q}_i^x \otimes \mathcal{Q}_j^y) \\
 &= \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \\ \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \\ \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \end{array} \right)^{w_i w_j} \right\} \\
 & \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - A_{Tru}^x(u_i) A_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \\ \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \\ \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Fal}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \end{array} \right)^{w_i w_j} \right\} \\
 & \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=i}^n (w_i w_j \mathcal{Q}_i^x \otimes \mathcal{Q}_j^y) \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - A_T^x(u_i) A_T^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Fal}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \end{array} \right\}$$

which complete the proof of Theorem 8 \square
 Moreover, the NCNIGWHM operator also has the following properties.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{NCNIGWHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \\ &= (\tilde{R}_{a_j}, S_{b_j}) \\ &= \{ \{ \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j), \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j), \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j) \}, \{ A_{Tru}(u_j), A_{Ind}(u_j), A_{Fal}(u_j) \} \} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Theorem 9 (idempotency). Let $Q_j = (\tilde{R}_{a_j}, S_{b_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then

Proof. Since $Q_j = (\tilde{R}_{a_j}, S_{b_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), and then according to equation (16), we have NCNIGWHM^{x,y}(Q₁, Q₂, ..., Q_n)

$$= \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - A_{Tru}^x(u) A_{Tru}^y(u))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u))^x (1 - A_{Fal}(u))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^{x+y}(\mathbf{u}))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}}, \right. \\
& \left. 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(\mathbf{u}))^{x+y})^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right. \\
& \left. 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(\mathbf{u}))^{x+y})^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right) \\
= & \left\{ \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - A_{Tru}^{x+y}(\mathbf{u}))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(\mathbf{u}))^{x+y})^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(\mathbf{u}))^{x+y})^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right) \right\} \\
= & \left\{ \left(\left(1 - \left((1 - \tilde{A}_T^{x+y}(\mathbf{u}))^{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 1 - \left(1 - \left((1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(\mathbf{u}))^{x+y})^{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 1 - \left(1 - \left((1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(\mathbf{u}))^{x+y})^{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right) \right\} \\
& \left\{ \left(\left(1 - \left((1 - A_T^{x+y}(\mathbf{u}))^{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 1 - \left(1 - \left((1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(\mathbf{u}))^{x+y})^{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 1 - \left(1 - \left((1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(\mathbf{u}))^{x+y})^{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_T^{x+y}(u)))^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u))^{x+y}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u))^{x+y}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \end{array} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left(\begin{array}{c} (1 - (1 - A_T^{x+y}(u)))^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u))^{x+y}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u))^{x+y}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \end{array} \right) \right\} \\
&\leq \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j), 1 - A_{Tru}^x(u_i) A_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \\
&\leq \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}'^x_{Tru}(u_i) \tilde{A}'^y_{Tru}(u_j), 1 - A'^x_{Tru}(u_i) A'^y_{Tru}(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \\
&\text{and} \\
&= \left\{ \left((\tilde{A}_T^{x+y}(u))^{\frac{1}{x+y}}, 1 - \left((1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u))^{x+y} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}}, 1 - \left((1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u))^{x+y} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left((A_T^{x+y}(u))^{\frac{1}{x+y}}, 1 - \left((1 - A_{Ind}(u))^{x+y} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}}, 1 - \left((1 - A_{Fal}(u))^{x+y} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right) \right\} \\
&= \{ \{ \tilde{A}_T(u_j), \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j), \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j) \}, \{ A_{Tru}(u_j), A_{Ind}(u_j), A_{Fal}(u_j) \} \} = (\tilde{R}_a, S_b) \square
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 10 (monotonicity). Let $Q_j = (\tilde{R}_a, S_b)$ and $Q'_j = (\tilde{R}'_a, S'_b)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), be two collections of NCNs. If $Q_j \geq Q'_j \forall j$ (suppose $\tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j) \geq \tilde{A}'_{Tru}(u_j)$, $\tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j) \geq \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_j)$, $\tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j) \geq \tilde{A}'_{Fal}(u_j)$, $A_{Tru}(u_j) \geq A'_{Tru}(u_j)$, $A_{Ind}(u_j) \geq A'_{Ind}(u_j)$, $A_{Fal}(u_j) \geq A'_{Fal}(u_j)$), then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{NCNIGWHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \\
&\geq \text{NCNIGWHM}^{x,y}(Q'_1, Q'_2, \dots, Q'_n) \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof

1. Since $\tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j) \geq \tilde{A}'_{Tru}(u_j)$, $A_{Tru}(u_j) \geq A'_{Tru}(u_j) \forall j$, and $x, y > 0$, then we have $\tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j) \geq \tilde{A}'_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}'_{Tru}^y(u_j)$, $A_{Tru}^x(u_i) A_{Tru}^y(u_j) \geq A'^x_{Tru}(u_i) A'^y_{Tru}(u_j)$, $1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j) \leq 1 - \tilde{A}'^x_{Tru}(u_i) \tilde{A}'^y_{Tru}(u_j)$, $1 - A_{Tru}^x(u_i) A_{Tru}^y(u_j) \leq 1 - A'^x_{Tru}(u_i) A'^y_{Tru}(u_j)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j), 1 - A_{Tru}^x(u_i) A_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \\
&\leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}'^x_{Tru}(u_i) \tilde{A}'^y_{Tru}(u_j), 1 - A'^x_{Tru}(u_i) A'^y_{Tru}(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \\
&1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j), 1 - A_{Tru}^x(u_i) A_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \\
&\geq 1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}'^x_{Tru}(u_i) \tilde{A}'^y_{Tru}(u_j), 1 - A'^x_{Tru}(u_i) A'^y_{Tru}(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}}
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j), 1 - A_{Tru}^x(u_i) A_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\
&\geq \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}'^x_{Tru}(u_i) \tilde{A}'^y_{Tru}(u_j), 1 - A'^x_{Tru}(u_i) A'^y_{Tru}(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}}
\end{aligned}$$

2. Since $\tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j) \leq \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_j)$, $A_{Ind}(u_j) \geq A'_{Ind}(u_j) \forall j$, and $x, y > 0$, then we have $(1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x \geq (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_i))^x$, $(1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^y \geq (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_i))^y$

and $(1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y \geq (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_j))^y$, $(1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y \geq (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_j))^y$ then

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y \geq (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_j))^y \\ (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y \geq (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_j))^y \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} 1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y \leq 1 - (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_j))^y \\ 1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y \leq 1 - (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_j))^y \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \leq \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \leq \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \\ \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \\ \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \\ \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} 1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \\ \geq 1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \\ 1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \\ \geq 1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_I(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_I(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right) \\
& \geq \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right) \\
& \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\
& \geq \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\
\text{SO} & \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \right) \\
& \leq 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\
& 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\
& \leq 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}}
\end{aligned}$$

3. Similar to step 2, we can prove

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ \leq 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}'_{Fal}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Fal}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ \leq 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - A'_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - A'_{Fal}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \end{array} \right)$$

According to Theorems 10–12 and Definition 12, we can get

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - \tilde{A}^x Tru(u_i) \tilde{A}^y Tru(u_j) \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - A'_{Tru}(u_i) A'^y_{Tru}(u_j) \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}{x+y}} \right) \right) \\
\geq & \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}{x+y}} \right) \right) \\
& \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - A'_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - A'_{Fal}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}{x+y}} \right) \right) \\
& \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - A^x_{Tru}(u_i) A^y_{Tru}(u_j) \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}{x+y}} \right) \right) \\
& \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}{x+y}} \right) \right) \\
& \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - A_{Fal}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}{x+y}} \right) \right) \\
\geq & \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - A^x_{Tru}(u_i) A'^y_{Tru}(u_j) \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}{x+y}} \right) \right) \\
& \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_i))^x (1 - A'_{Ind}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}{x+y}} \right) \right) \\
& \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - A'_{Fal}(u_i))^x (1 - A'_{Fal}(u_j))^y \right)^{w_i w_j} \right)^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}{x+y}} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\text{NCNIGWHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \geq \text{NCNIGWHM}^{x,y}(Q'_1, Q'_2, \dots, Q'_n)$ which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 11 (boundedness). Let $Q_j = (\tilde{R}_{a_j}, S_{b_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$)... be a collection of NCNs, and $Q_j^- = (\min \tilde{R}_{a_j}, \min S_{b_j})$, $Q_j^+ = (\max \tilde{R}_{a_j}, \max S_{b_j})$ or

$$Q_j^- = \left(\begin{array}{l} \min \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j), \min \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j), \min \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j), \\ (\min A_{Tru}(u_j), \min A_{Ind}(u_j), \min A_{Fal}(u_j)) \end{array} \right)$$

$$Q_j^+ = \left(\begin{array}{l} \max \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j), \max \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j), \max \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j) \\ (\max A_{Tru}(u_j), \max A_{Ind}(u_j), \max A_{Fal}(u_j)) \end{array} \right)$$

then

$$Q_j^- \leq \text{NCNIGWHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \leq Q_j^+ \quad (19)$$

Proof. Since $Q_j \geq Q_j^-$, then based on Theorems 10 and 11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{NCNIGWHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \\ &\geq \text{NCNIGWHM}^{x,y}(Q_1^-, Q_2^-, \dots, Q_n^-) = Q_j^- \end{aligned}$$

Like wise, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{NCNIGWHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \\ &\leq \text{NCNIGWHM}^{x,y}(Q_1^+, Q_2^+, \dots, Q_n^+) = Q_j^+ \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$Q_j^- \leq \text{NCNIGWHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \leq Q_j^+$$

which completes the proof. \square

We will discuss some special cases of the NCNIGWHM with respect to parameters x and y , as follows:

1. When $x = 0$, then

$$\text{NCNIGWHM}^{0,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left((1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j}, (1 - A_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left((1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j}, (1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left((1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j}, (1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{y}} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{l} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left((1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j}, (1 - A_{Tru}^y(u_j))^{w_i w_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left((1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j}, (1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{y}} \\ 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left((1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j}, (1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{y}} \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

2. When $y = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{NCNIGWHM}^{x,0}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \tag{21} \\ & = \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left((1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_i))^{w_i w_j}, (1 - A_{Tru}(u_i))^{w_i w_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}} \right) \\ & = 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left((1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^y)^{w_i w_j}, (1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}} \\ & = 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left((1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_x))^y)^{w_i w_j}, (1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_x))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}} \\ & = \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left((1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_i))^{w_i w_j}, (1 - A_{Tru}(u_i))^{w_i w_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}} \right) \\ & = 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left((1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i))^y)^{w_i w_j}, (1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_i))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}} \\ & = 1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left((1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_x))^y)^{w_i w_j}, (1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_x))^y)^{w_i w_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}} \end{aligned}$$

3. when $x = y = 1$, then we have

$$\text{NCNIGWHM}^{1,1}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(\frac{(1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j))^{w_i w_j}}{(1 - A_{Tru}(u_j))^{w_i w_j}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
 = & \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(\frac{(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j)))^{w_i w_j}}{(1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j)))^{w_i w_j}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
 & \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(\frac{(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j}}{(1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_j)))^{w_i w_j}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
 = & \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(\frac{(1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j))^{w_i w_j}}{(1 - A_{Tru}(u_j))^{w_i w_j}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
 & \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(\frac{(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j)))^{w_i w_j}}{(1 - (1 - A_{Ind}(u_j)))^{w_i w_j}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
 & \left(1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(\frac{(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j))^y)^{w_i w_j}}{(1 - (1 - A_{Fal}(u_j)))^{w_i w_j}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

NCNIGWGHM operator

Definition 12. Let $x, y \geq 0$, and $Q_j = (\tilde{R}_{aj}, S_{bj})$ where $\tilde{R}_{aj} = \{\tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j), \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j), \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j)\}$ and $S_{bj} = \{A_{Tru}(u_j), A_{Ind}(u_j), A_{Fal}(u_j)\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a collection of NCNs with the weight vector $W = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ such that $w_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 1$, then an NCNIGWGHM operator of dimension n is a mapping NCNIGWGHM : $\Psi^n \rightarrow \Psi$, and has

$$\text{NCNIGWGHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) = \left(\frac{1}{x + y} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (xQ_i \otimes yQ_j) \right)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)} \frac{w_j}{\sum_{k=i}^n w_k}} \quad (23)$$

where Ψ is the set of all NCNs.

Theorem 12. Let $x, y \geq 0$, and $Q_j = (\tilde{R}_{aj}, S_{bj})$ where $\tilde{R}_{aj} = \{\tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j), \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j), \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j)\}$ and $S_{bj} = \{A_{Tru}(u_j), A_{Ind}(u_j), A_{Fal}(u_j)\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a collection of NCNs with the weight vector $W = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ such that $w_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 1$, then the aggregated value by equation (23) can be expressed as

NCNIGWGHM^{x,y}(Q₁, Q₂, ..., Q_n)

$$= \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 - \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(\begin{array}{c} (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^x(u_i)), (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}^y(u_j))) \\ (1 - (1 - A_{Tru}^x(u_i)), (1 - A_{Tru}^y(u_j))) \end{array} \right)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x+y}} \\ \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Ind}^y(u_j), 1 - A_{Ind}^x(u_i) A_{Ind}^y(u_j)) \right)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}} \\ \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}^x(u_i) \tilde{A}_{Fal}^y(u_j), 1 - A_{Fal}^x(u_i) A_{Fal}^y(u_j)) \right)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}} \end{array} \right) \quad (24)$$

Similar, the proofs of Theorem 8 and Theorem 12 are omitted.

Moreover, similar to the proofs of Theorems 9–11, it is easy to prove that the NCNIGWGHM operator also has the following properties.

Theorem 13 (reducibility). Let $W = (1/n, 1/n, 1/n, \dots, 1/n)^T$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{NCNIGWGHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \\ &= \text{NCNIGWGHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Theorem 14 (idempotency). Let $Q_j = (\tilde{R}_{a_j}, S_{b_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) then

$$\text{NCNIGWGHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) = (\tilde{R}_{a_j}, S_{b_j}) \quad (26)$$

Theorem 15 (monotonicity). Let $Q_j = (\tilde{R}_{a_j}, S_{b_j})$ and $Q'_j = (\tilde{R}'_{a_j}, S'_{b_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be two collections of NCNs. If $Q_j \geq Q'_j \forall j$ (suppose $\tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j) \geq \tilde{A}'_{Tru}(u_j)$, $\tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j) \geq \tilde{A}'_{Ind}(u_j)$, $\tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j) \geq \tilde{A}'_{Fal}(u_j)$, $A_{Tru}(u_j) \geq A'_{Tru}(u_j)$, $A_{Ind}(u_j) \geq A'_{Ind}(u_j)$, $A_{Fal}(u_j) \geq A'_{Fal}(u_j)$), then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{NCNIGWGHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \\ & \geq \text{NCNIGWGHM}^{x,y}(Q'_1, Q'_2, \dots, Q'_n) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Theorem 16 (boundedness). Let $Q_j = (\tilde{R}_{a_j}, S_{b_j})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a collection of NCNs, and

$$Q_j^- = (\min \tilde{R}_{a_j}, \min S_{b_j})$$

$$Q_j^+ = (\max \tilde{R}_{a_j}, \max S_{b_j})$$

or

$$Q_j^- = \left(\begin{array}{c} \min \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j), \min \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j), \min \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j), \\ (\min A_{Tru}(u_j), \min A_{Ind}(u_j), \min A_{Fal}(u_j)) \end{array} \right)$$

$$Q_j^+ = \left(\begin{array}{c} \max \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j), \max \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j), \max \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j), \\ (\max A_{Tru}(u_j), \max A_{Ind}(u_j), \max A_{Fal}(u_j)) \end{array} \right)$$

then

$$Q_j^- \leq \text{NCNIGWGHM}^{x,y}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \leq Q_j^+ \quad (28)$$

Some special cases of the NCNIGWGHM with respect to parameters x and y are discussed as following:

1. When $x = 0$, then

NCNIGWGHM^{0,y}(Q₁, Q₂, ..., Q_n)

$$= \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 - \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(\begin{array}{c} (1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j))^y, \\ (1 - (1 - A_{Tru}(u_j))^y) \end{array} \right)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{y}} \\ \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}^y(u_j), 1 - A_{Ind}^y(u_j)) \right)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}} \\ \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}^y(u_j), 1 - A_{Fal}^y(u_j)) \right)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)} \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}} \end{array} \right) \quad (29)$$

2. When $y = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{NCNIGWGHM}^{x,0}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \\
 &= \left(\begin{aligned} & 1 - \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_i))^x, \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. 1 - (1 - A_{Tru}^y(u_i))^x \right)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}} \\ & \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}^x(u_i), 1 - A^x(u_i))^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}} \\ & \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}^x(u_i), 1 - A_{Fal}^x(u_i))^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}} \end{aligned} \right) \tag{30}
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $y = 0$, $\text{NCNIGWGHM}^{x,y}$ does not have any relationship with w . In addition, the parameters x and y do not have the interchangeability.

3. When $x = y = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{NCNIGWGHM}^{1,1}(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n) \\
 &= \left(\begin{aligned} & 1 - \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n \left(1 - (1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_i))(1 - \tilde{A}_{Tru}(u_j)), \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. 1 - (1 - A_{Tru}(u_i))(1 - A_{Tru}(u_j)) \right)^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)} \frac{w_j}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_i)\tilde{A}_{Ind}(u_j), 1 - A(u_i)A(u_j))^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)} \frac{w_j}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=i}^n (1 - \tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_i)\tilde{A}_{Fal}(u_j), 1 - A_{Fal}(u_i)A_{Fal}(u_j))^{\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n(n+1)} \frac{w_j}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \right) \tag{31}
 \end{aligned}$$

The approach to multiple attribute group decision-making with NCNs

In this section, we shall introduce the approach to multiple attribute group decision-making with the help of the NCNs. We apply NCN-improved generalized weighted Heronian mean operator to deal with the attribute group decision-making problems under the NCNs environment with an illustrated example.

Applications in multiple attribute group decision-making problem

In the problem of multiple attribute group decision-making, the developed procedure can easily be used for the better decision.

Suppose $H = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m\}$ is a set of alternatives, $G_j = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ is a set of attributes or criteria, and $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)$ is the weighted vector of the criteria, where, $\omega_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum \omega_i = 1$. Then, the

evaluation value of an attribute G_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) with respect to alternatives H_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) is expressed by an NCN $q_{ij} = ((\tilde{q}_{Truij}, \tilde{q}_{Indij}, \tilde{q}_{Falij}), (q_{Truij}, q_{Indij}, q_{Falij}))$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n; i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) where $\tilde{q}_{Truij}, \tilde{q}_{Indij}, \tilde{q}_{Falij} \subseteq [0, 1]$ and $q_{Truij}, q_{Indij}, q_{Falij} \in [0, 1]$. So, the decision matrix is obtained as: $D = (q_{ij})_{m \times n}$. The steps of the decision-making based on NCNs are given as follows:

Algorithm

- Step 1. The DMs take their analysis of each alternatives based on each criteria. The performance of each alternatives H_i with respect to each criteria G_j .
- Step 2. Calculate the NCNIGWHM operator $(h_{i1}^k, h_{i2}^k, \dots, h_{in}^k)$ to obtain the collective evaluation value of alternatives H_i with respect to each criteria G_j .

- Step 3. Calculate the cosine similarity using Definition 10 in article.²⁴
- Step 4. Rank all the alternatives.
- Step 5. End.

Numerical example

This section introduces an illustrative example to show the application of the above MAGDM method based on NCN. An investment company intends to choose one product to invest its money from four alternatives H_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Where $H_1 =$ medicine company, $H_2 =$ textile company, $H_3 =$ mobile company, and $H_4 =$ car company. The weights of the indicators are $w = (0.5, 0.3, 0.1, 0.1)$. Three criteria have been evaluated and they are shown as follows: $G_1 =$ Tax Rate, $G_2 =$ Demand/Supply and $G_3 =$ Wages. In order to get a most suitable choice we will use the above-mentioned algorithm as follows:



Figure 2. Line chart of alternatives versus score values of alternatives.

Step 1. Let $H = \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$ be a set of alternatives and $G = \{G_1, G_2, G_3\}$ be the set of criteria. Let D be set of decision matrix. The decision matrix evaluates each alternative based on given criteria.

Step 2. Calculate the NCNIGWHM operator by formula (15) to obtain the collective evaluation value $(h_{i1}^k, h_{i2}^k, \dots, h_{in}^k)$ of alternatives H_i with respect to each criterion G_j and $w = (0.5, 0.3, 0.1, 0.1)$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &h_1^1([0.01, 0.04], [0.01, 0.04], [0.03, 0.1], (1.9, 1.9, 1.9)) \\
 &h_2^1([0.009, 0.06], [0.03, 0.14], [0.014, 0.11], (1.9, 1.9, 1.9)) \\
 &h_3^1([0.005, 0.053], [0.03, 0.12], [0.0012, 0.15], (1.9, 1.9, 1.9)) \\
 &h_4^1([0.004, 0.02], [0.006, 0.03], [0.02, 0.05], (1.9, 1.9, 1.9))
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. To calculate the cosine similarity using Definition 10, we get

$$S(h_1) = 0.11305, S(h_2) = 0.06520$$


$$D = \begin{matrix} & G_1 & G_2 & G_3 \\ \begin{matrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \\ H_3 \\ H_4 \end{matrix} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ([0.45, 0.53], \\ [0.16, 0.25], \\ [0.36, 0.64], \\ [(0.65, 0.37, \\ 0.74)) \end{array} \right\} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ([0.34, 0.56], \\ [0.65, 0.73], \\ [0.46, 0.67], \\ (0.66, 0.85, \\ 0.76)) \end{array} \right\} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ([0.25, 0.56], \\ [0.46, 0.75], \\ [0.74, 0.85], \\ (0.65, 0.84, \\ 0.95)) \end{array} \right\} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{matrix}$$


Figure 3. Graphical representation of the ranking values of alternatives.

$$S(h_3) = 0.02935, S(h_4) = 0.02535$$

shown in Figure 2.

Step 4. Rank all the alternatives, we get the sequence of candidates as follows: $h_1 \succ h_2 \succ h_3 \succ h_4$ shown in Figure 3.

Step 5. End.

Conclusion

In this article, we have discussed the idea of NCNs and different operators such as HM, GHM, weighted Heronian mean, generalized Heronian mean, and generalized weighted geometric mean operators. We applied HM to the NCSs. The NCS can be defined as the three elements such as truth, indeterminate, and incomplete information. The Heronian mean can represent the relationship of the aggregated values and MADM method. Finally, a numerical example is given to verify the proposed method.


Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Muhammad Gulistan  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6438-1047>

References

- Celik E, Gumus AT and Alegoz M. A trapezoidal type-2 fuzzy MCDM method to identify and evaluate critical success factors for humanitarian relief logistics management. *J Intell Fuzzy Syst* 2014; 27(6): 2847–2855.
- Gurbuz T and Albayrak YE. An engineering approach to human resources performance evaluation: hybrid MCDM application with interactions. *Soft Comput* 2014; 21: 365–375.
- Hashemkhani Zolfani S, Maknoon R and Zavadskas EK. Multiple nash equilibriums and evaluation of strategies. *J Bus Econ Manag* 2015; 16(2): 290–306.
- Zadeh LA. Fuzzy sets. *Inform Control* 1965; 8: 338–356.
- Atanassov KT. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets Syst* 1986; 20: 87–96.
- Atanassov KT. More on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets Syst* 1989; 33: 37–46.
- Smarandache F. A unifying field in logics, neutrosophic logic. multivalued logic. *Int J* 2002; 8(3): 385–438.
- Karaaslan F. Multi-criteria decision-making method based on similarity measures under single-valued neutrosophic refined and interval neutrosophic refined environments. *Int J Intell Syst* 2018; 33(5): 928–952.
- Karaaslan F and Davvaz B. Properties of single-valued neutrosophic graphs. *J Intell Fuzzy Syst* 2018; 34(1): 57–79.
- Karaaslan F. Correlation coefficients of single-valued neutrosophic refined soft sets and their applications in clustering analysis. *Neural Comput Appl* 2017; 28(9): 2781–2793.
- Jun YB, Smarandache F and Kim CS. Neutrosophic cubic sets. *New Math Nat Comput* 2017; 13(1): 41–54.
- Al-Omeri W and Smarandache F. New neutrosophic sets via neutrosophic topological spaces. *Infinite Study* 2016; 2016: 1–15.
- Zhan J, Khan M, Gulistan M, et al. Applications of neutrosophic cubic sets in multi-criteria decision-making. *Int J Uncertain Quan* 2017; 7(5): 377–394.
- Gulistan M, Yaqoob N, Rashid Z, et al. A study on neutrosophic cubic graphs with real life applications in industries. *Symmetry* 2018; 10(6): 203.
- Khan M, Gulistan M, Yaqoob N, et al. Neutrosophic cubic (a, b)-ideals in semigroups with application. *J Intell Fuzzy Syst* 2018; 35(2): 2469–2483.
- Gulistan M, Khan A, Abdullah A, et al. Complex Neutrosophic Subsemigroups and Ideals. *Int J Anal Appl* 2018; 16(1): 97–116.
- Hashim RM, Gulistan M and Smrandache F. Applications of neutrosophic bipolar fuzzy sets in HOPE foundation for planning to build a children hospital with different types of similarity measures. *Symmetry* 2018; 10(8): 331.
- Gulistan M, Wahab HA, Smrandache HA, et al. Some linguistic neutrosophic cubic mean operators and entropy with applications in a corporation to choose an area supervisor. *Symmetry* 2018; 10(10): 428.
- Peng JJ, Wang JQ, Wang J, et al. Simplified neutrosophic sets, and their applications in multi-criteria group decision-making problems. *Int J Syst Sci* 2016; 47(10): 2342–2358.
- Zhang HY, Wang JQ and Chen XH. Interval neutrosophic sets and their application in multicriteria decision-making problems. *Sci World J* 2014; 2014: 645953.
- Ye J. Single valued neutrosophic cross-entropy for multi-criteria decisionmaking problems. *Appl Math Model* 2014; 38(3): 1170–1175.
- Ye J. Similarity measures between interval neutrosophic sets and their applications in Multi-criteria decision-making. *J Intell Fuzzy Syst* 2014; 26: 165–172.
- Shi L and Ye J. Dombi aggregation operators of neutrosophic cubic sets for multiple attribute decision-making. *Algorithms* 2018; 11(3): 29.
- Lu Z and Ye J. Cosine measures of neutrosophic cubic sets for multiple attribute decision-making. *Symmetry* 2017; 9(7): 121.
- Pramanik S, Dalapati S, Alam S, et al. NC-cross entropy based MADM strategy in neutrosophic cubic set environment. *Mathematics* 2018; 6(5): 67.
- Pramanik S, Dalapati S, Alam S, et al. NC-TODIM-based MAGDM under a neutrosophic cubic set environment. *Information* 2017; 8(4): 149.

27. Pramanik S, Dalapati S, Alam S, et al. NC-VIKOR Based MAGDM Strategy under neutrosophic cubic set environment. *Neutrosophic Sets Syst* 2018; 20: 95–108.
28. Pramanik S, Dalapati S, Alam S, et al. Neutrosophic cubic MCGDM method based on similarity measure. *Neutrosophic Sets Syst* 2017; 16: 44–56.
29. Banerjee D, Giri BC, Pramanik S, et al. GRA for multi attribute decision-making in neutrosophic cubic set environment. *Neutrosophic Sets Syst* 2012; 12: 59.
30. Dalapati S and Pramanik S. A revisit to NC-VIKOR based MAGDM strategy in neutrosophic cubic set environment. *Neutrosophic Sets Syst* 2018; 21: 131–141.
31. Liu PD and Wang YM. Multiple attribute group decision-making methods based on intuitionistic linguistic power generalized aggregation operators. *Appl Soft Comput* 2014; 17: 90–104.
32. Xu Z and Yager RR. Some geometric aggregation operators based on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Int J Gen Syst* 2006; 35: 417–433.
33. Xu ZS. Intuitionistic fuzzy aggregation operators. *IEEE T Fuzzy Syst* 2007; 15(6): 1179–1187.
34. Beliakov G, Pradera A and Calvo T. *Aggregation functions: a guide for practitioners*. Berlin: Springer, 2007, p. 221.
35. Liu PD. The research note of Heronian mean operators. *Shandong Univ Financ Econ Pers Commun* 2012; 10–20.
36. Yu DJ and Wu YY. Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy Heronian mean operators and their application in multi-criteria decision-making. *Afr J Bus Manage* 2012; 6(11): 4158–4168.
37. Liu PD. Some geometric aggregation operators based on interval intuitionistic uncertain linguistic variables and their application to group decision-making. *Appl Math Model* 2013; 37: 2430–2444.
38. Yu DJ. Intuitionistic fuzzy geometric Heronian mean aggregation operators. *Appl Soft Comput* 2013; 13(2): 1235–1246.
39. Liu P, He L and Yu X. Generalized hybrid aggregation operators based on the 2-dimension uncertain linguistic information for multiple attribute group decision making. *Group Decis Negot* 2016; 25(1): 103–126.
40. Li Y, Liu P and Chen Y. Some single valued neutrosophic number Heronian mean operators and their application in multiple attribute group decision-making. *Informatica* 2016; 27(1): 85–110.