Zayed University

ZU Scholars

All Works

5-1-2020

Vitamin D receptor Fokl polymorphism is a determinant of both maternal and neonatal vitamin D concentrations at birth

Spyridon N. Karras Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Medicine

Theocharis Koufakis Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Medicine

Vasiliki Antonopoulou Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Medicine

Dimitrios G. Goulis Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Medicine

Merve Alaylıoğlu Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works



Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Karras, Spyridon N.; Koufakis, Theocharis; Antonopoulou, Vasiliki; Goulis, Dimitrios G.; Alaylıoğlu, Merve; Dursun, Erdinc; Gezen-Ak, Duygu; Annweiler, Cedric; Pilz, Stefan; Fakhoury, Hana; Al Anouti, Fatme; Harizopoulou, Vikentia; Naughton, Declan P.; Zebekakis, Pantelis; and Kotsa, Kalliopi, "Vitamin D receptor Fokl polymorphism is a determinant of both maternal and neonatal vitamin D concentrations at birth" (2020). All Works. 3919.

https://zuscholars.zu.ac.ae/works/3919

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ZU Scholars. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Works by an authorized administrator of ZU Scholars. For more information, please contact scholars@zu.ac.ae.

	ne, Last name, Institution				
Spyridon N. Karras, Theocharis Koufakis, Vasiliki Antonopoulou, Dimitrios G. Goulis, Merve Alaylıoğlu, Erdinc Dursun, Duygu Gezen-Ak, Cedric Annweiler, Stefan Pilz, Hana Fakhoury, Fatme Al Anouti, Vikentia					
Harizopoulou, Dec	elan P. Naughton, Pantelis Zebekakis, and Kalliopi Kotsa				

©2019, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International http://creativecommons.org/about/downloads



1 Vitamin D Receptor Fokl polymorphism is a determinant of both maternal and

2 neonatal Vitamin D concentrations at birth

3

- 4 Spyridon N. Karras¹, Theocharis Koufakis¹, Vasiliki Antonopoulou¹, Dimitrios G.
- 5 Goulis², Merve Alaylıoğlu³, Erdinc Dursun^{3,4}, Diugy Gezen-Ak³, Cedric
- 6 Annweiler^{5,6}, Stefan Pilz⁷, Hana Fakhoury⁸, Fatme Al Anouti⁹, Vikentia
- Harizopoulou², Declan P. Naughton¹⁰, Pantelis Zebekakis¹, Kalliopi Kotsa¹

- 9 ¹Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, First Department of Internal Medicine,
- 10 Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, AHEPA University Hospital,
- 11 Thessaloniki, Greece
- 12 ²Unit of Reproductive Endocrinology, First Department of Obstetrics and
- 13 Gynecology, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki,
- 14 Greece
- 15 ³Brain and Neurodegenerative Disorders Research Laboratory, Department of
- 16 Medical Biology, Cerrahpasa Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa,
- 17 Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁴Department of Neuroscience, Institute of Neurological Sciences, Istanbul University-
- 19 Cerrahpasa, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁵Department of Neuroscience, Division of Geriatric Medicine, Angers University
- 21 Hospital, Angers, France; University Memory Clinic, UPRES EA 4638, University of
- 22 Angers, UNAM, Angers, France
- 23 ⁶Robarts Research Institute, Department of Medical Biophysics, Schulich School of
- 24 Medicine and Dentistry, the University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

25 ⁷Division of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Department of Internal Medicine, 26 Medical University Graz, Graz, Austria 27 ⁸Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, College of Medicine, AlFaisal 28 University, Riyadh, KSA 29 ⁹Zayed University, College of Natural and Health Sciences, Abu Dhabi, United Arab 30 **Emirates** ¹⁰ School of Life Sciences, Kingston University London, UK 31 32 33 34 35 Correspondence: Dr. Spyridon N. Karras, Division of Endocrinology and

Metabolism, First Department of Internal Medicine, AHEPA Hospital, S. Kiriakidi 1

str., Thessaloniki, Greece, karraspiros@yahoo.gr; Tel.: +30 2310324863.

36

37

Abstract

40	Maternal vitamin D deficiency is considered to be the key determinant of the
41	development of neonatal vitamin D deficiency at birth and during early infancy.
42	Specific vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene polymorphisms have been associated with
43	adverse pregnancy and offspring outcomes. The aim of this study was to evaluate the
44	effect of maternal and neonatal VDR polymorphisms (ApaI, TaqI, BsmI, FokI, Tru9I)
45	on maternal and neonatal vitamin D status. VDR polymorphisms were genotyped in
46	70 mother-neonate pairs of Greek origin, and classified according to international
47	thresholds for Vitamin D status. Mean neonatal and maternal 25-hydroxy-vitamin D
48	[25(OH)D] concentrations were 35 ± 20 and 47 ± 26 nmol/l, respectively. Neonatal
49	VDR polymorphisms were not associated with neonatal 25(OH)D concentrations. In
50	contrast, mothers with the Fokl FF polymorphism had a 70% lower risk of vitamin D
51	deficiency [25(OH)D <30 nmol/l] compared with ff ones, after adjustment for several
52	confounders. They were also in 73% and 88% lower risk of giving birth to vitamin D
53	deficient [25(OH)D <30 nmol/l] neonates compared with Ff and ff mothers,
54	respectively. These results suggest a protective role of maternal Fokl FF genotype
55	against both maternal and neonatal vitamin D deficiency. Further studies are needed
56	to clarify the complex gene-gene and gene-environment interactions that determine
57	vitamin D status at birth.

Keywords: Vitamin D; pregnancy; neonatal health; calcium; rickets.

1. Introduction

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

The teleological purpose of an ongoing pregnancy is to fulfil its fundamental role in a successful, uncomplicated delivery, along with an optimal intrauterine environment for the developing fetus [1]. Vitamin D homeostasis during pregnancy is adapted to meet both those demands, first, by stimulation of calcium (Ca) absorption for adequate intrauterine bone mineral accrual of the fetus and second, by enhancing systemic and local maternal tolerance to paternal and fetal alloantigens [1]. On that basis, data from observational studies during the last decade have suggested a potential adverse effect of maternal hypovitaminosis D during pregnancy on maternal and offspring health outcomes. Randomized trials of moderate quality indicate that vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy might reduce the risk of pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes, low birth weight and severe postpartum hemorrhage [1]. Maternal vitamin D deficiency is also considered to be the key determinant of the development of neonatal vitamin D deficiency at birth and during early infancy. Maternal 25-hydroxy-vitamin D [25(OH)D] crosses the placental barrier and represents the main pool of vitamin D for the fetus [2]. Serum fetal (cord blood) 25(OH)D concentrations correlate strongly with maternal 25(OH)D concentrations, being on average 25% lower compared with the latter [3,4]. Guidelines suggest a maternal vitamin D intake of >600 IU/day, to prevent elevated cord blood alkaline phosphatase, increased fontanelle size, neonatal hypocalcemia and congenital rickets [2] and to ensure the adequacy of maternal vitamin D status, especially in women at risk of deficiency [5]. However, there is an ongoing controversy among experts worldwide about the definition of maternal vitamin D deficiency during pregnancy, especially about the optimal thresholds of maternal 25(OH)D concentrations (\geq 50 nmol/l vs. \geq 75 nmol/l) [6,7]. On the other hand,

86 different criteria are used to define optimal neonatal vitamin D status (sufficiency >50 87 nmol/l, insufficiency 30-50 nmol/l, deficiency <30 nmol/l) [5]. 88 Well-designed clinical trials indicate that maternal 25(OH)D concentrations >100 89 nmol/l (40 ng/ml) during pregnancy are associated with a 60 % reduction in preterm 90 birth risk in the daily clinical obstetric care [8]. In this setting, 91 prenatal screening programs for optimizing 25(OH)D concentrations have been 92 demonstrated as an effective approach to detect deficient women and prevent pregnancy complications [9]. Previously published randomized controlled trials 93 94 demonstrated that a daily vitamin D dose of 4000 IU safely elevated circulating 95 25(OH)D concentrations and normalized vitamin D metabolism and Ca homeostasis in pregnant women, regardless of race [10,11]. More specifically, a circulating 96 97 25(OH)D level of about 100 nmol/l was found to be the required concentration to 98 optimize production of 1,25(OH)₂D during pregnancy through renal and/or placental 99 production of the hormone [12]. 100 Based on these findings, a target of maternal 25(OH)D >100 nmol/l seems to be 101 biologically and scientifically sound [13]. The fact that the aforementioned data was not incorporated into previous systematic reviews [1], might lie in the fact that most 102 103 of those systematic analyses did not include trials in which any amount of the investigated agent was given to the control group, including the study by Hollis et al. 104 105 [12], in which the authors considered unethical not to supplement with minimal dose 106 the control group. 107 Despite the differences in definitions of maternal and neonatal vitamin D status and 108 the lack of uniform results on the association between maternal thresholds and 109 neonatal outcomes, the appliance of criteria for vitamin D status resulted in an improvement of the management of maternal hypovitaminosis D in the daily clinical 110

setting [5-7]. On the other hand, the clinical aspects of this controversy are largely reflected in the conflicting results between observational and supplementation studies [14], also affected by country-specific dietary patterns, public health policies and variation in ultraviolet B (UVB) exposure, due to cultural and life-style reasons [15]. In this context, the effects of genetic variations of vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene on maternal and neonatal vitamin D status are gaining increasing interest. Specific VDR polymorphisms have been associated with adverse pregnancy and offspring outcomes [16-18]. However, robust evidence of such an association is currently unavailable, given that various studies present significant heterogeneity in terms of maternal and neonatal criteria for vitamin D status, study design, sample size and racial descent of the included subjects.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of maternal and neonatal VDR polymorphisms (ApaI, TaqI, BsmI, FokI, Tru9I) on maternal and neonatal vitamin D status, by applying internationally-adopted criteria for maternal and neonatal vitamin D deficiency.

2. Methods

2.1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

This study included data and samples from a cohort of mother-child pairs at birth that has been previously described [3]. Pregnant women on regular follow-up were recruited from the Maternity Unit of the 1st Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Aristotle University, Thessaloniki, Greece. The inclusion criterion was full-term pregnancy (gestational week 37-42). Maternal exclusion criteria were primary hyperparathyroidism, secondary osteoporosis, heavy alcohol use (≥7 alcohol units per week or ≥6 units at any time during pregnancy), hyperthyroidism, nephritic syndrome,

inflammatory bowel disease, rheumatoid arthritis, osteomalacia, obesity [body mass index (BMI) >30 kg/m²], gestational diabetes and use of medications affecting Ca or vitamin D status (e.g. corticosteroids), except for Ca and vitamin D supplements. Neonatal exclusion criteria were being small-for-gestational age (SGA) and presence of severe congenital anomalies. Informed consent was obtained from all mothers. The study was conducted from January 2018 to September 2018. The protocol received approval from the Bioethics Committee of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece (approval number 1/19-12-2011).

2.2. Demographics and dietary assessment

At enrolment, maternal demographic and social characteristics, as well as dietary habits, were recorded. Ca and vitamin D dietary intake during the last month of pregnancy were assessed through a validated, semi-quantitative, food frequency questionnaire that includes 150 foods and beverages [19-21]. For each dietary item, participants were asked to report their frequency of dairy products consumption and portion size. From these data, calculations were made for estimations of consumed quantities (in g per day) based on a food composition database, modified to accommodate the particularities of the Greek diet [22] for estimating daily dietary calcium and vitamin D intake. Maternal education was classified as elementary (primary), standard (secondary) and higher (tertiary and holding of academic degrees). Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy was treated as a dichotomous variable, defined either as none (subdivided in never drinking alcohol or drinking alcohol but not during pregnancy) or light (1-2 units per week or at any one time during pregnancy) [23].

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

2.3. Biochemical and hormonal assays

Blood samples were obtained from mothers by antecubital venipuncture 30-60 min before delivery. Umbilical cord blood was collected immediately after clamping, from the umbilical vein. Serum and umbilical cord specimens were stored at -20°C prior to analysis for the following parameters: Ca, phosphorus (P), parathyroid hormone (PTH), 25-hydroxyvitamin D₂ [25(OH)D₂] and 25(OH)D. Serum Ca and P determinations were performed using the Cobas INTEGRA clinical chemistry system (D-68298; Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation (CVs) were 1.0% and 3.5% for Ca, and 1.3% and 2.5% for P, PTH determinations were performed respectively. using the electrochemiluminescence immunoassay ECLIA (Roche Diagnostics GmbA, Mannheim, Germany). Reference range for PTH was 15-65 pg/ml, functional sensitivity 6.0 pg/ml, within-run precision 0.6-2.8% and total precision 1.6-3.4%. Concentrations of 25(OH)D₂ and 25(OH)D were determined using novel assay, liquid chromatographytandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), with lower limits of quantification (LLOQ): 25(OH)D₂(0.5 ng/ml), 25(OH)D (0.5 ng/ml). Briefly, the assay involves analyte purification using liquid-liquid extraction followed by chromatographical separation using a chiral column in tandem with a rapid resolution microbore column. Full method validation parameters have been previously reported [24,25].

181

182

183

184

185

2.4. Neonatal and maternal vitamin D status

Differences in the frequency of neonatal VDR polymorphisms were determined between three groups of neonates, according to their vitamin D status at birth: 25(OH)D < 30 nmol/l (deficiency), $30 \le 25(OH)D \le 50 \text{ nmol/l}$ (insufficiency) and

25(OH)D >50 nmol/l (sufficiency) [2]. Differences in the genotype distribution of maternal VDR polymorphisms were evaluated between different groups of Vitamin D 187 188 status, defined by using two thresholds for maternal 25(OH)D concentrations: $[25(OH)D < 30 \text{ nmol/l}] \text{ vs. } [25(OH)D \ge 30 \text{ nmol/l}] \text{ and } [25(OH)D < 50 \text{ nmol/l}] \text{ vs.}$ [25(OH)D\ge 50 nmol/l] [26].

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

189

190

186

2.5. VDR analysis

DNA was isolated from peripheral blood samples by QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Cat. No. 51304, QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to manufacturer's protocol. In order to determine the genotypes of rs7975232 (ApaI), rs7731236 (TaqI), rs757343 (Tru9I) and rs1544410 (BsmI) SNPs within VDR gene, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) methods were performed as previously described [27]. Real-Time PCR (RT-PCR) method was used for determining genotypes of rs2228570 (FokI) SNP by using Simple Probe (LightSNiP, TibMolBiol, Berlin, Germany) and LightCycler Fast Start DNA Master HybProbe Kit (Cat. No. 12239272001, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) with LightCycler 480 Instrument II (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Melting curve analysis were performed for genotyping as previously described [28]. Each SNP allele named after as follows: for rs7731236 (TagI), "t" represents C, "T" represents T nucleotide; for rs7975232 (ApaI), "a" represents C, "A" represents A nucleotide, for rs757343 (Tru9I), "u" represents A, "U" represents G nucleotide, for rs1544410 (BsmI), "b" represents G, "B" represents A nucleotide, and for rs2228570 (FokI), "f" represents T, "F" represents C nucleotide.

209

210

2.6. Statistical analysis

Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk analyses were carried out on the data to test for normality. Group differences were tested using the chi-square test, whereas Fisher's exact test was applied, in case the expected values were less than five. Categorical data were presented as absolute numbers and frequencies (percentages). Univariate logistic regression was performed to identify independent associations of maternal and neonatal polymorphisms with both neonatal and maternal vitamin D status, after adjusting for confounders, such as age, smoking status, education level, alcohol consumption, Ca supplementation, dietary daily Ca and vitamin D intake during the third trimester, pre-pregnancy BMI and delivery BMI. The genotype frequencies were tested for the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium using the https://ihg.gsf.de/ihg/index_engl.html for cases and controls through the Pearson chi-square (χ^2) test. ORs and p-values, were all adjusted for confounders and tested for co-dominant, dominant and additive genetic models.

3. Results

Seventy mother-neonate pairs were included in the study. Demographic and laboratory data of mothers and neonates are presented in **Table 1**. Mean neonatal 25(OH)D concentrations were 35 ± 20 nmol/l. Overall, 52% (n=36) of the neonates were vitamin D deficient, 27% (n=19) insufficient and 21% (n=15) sufficient. Mean maternal age and 25(OH)D concentrations were 33 ± 6 years and 47 ± 26 nmol/l, respectively. Overall, 34% (n=24) of the mothers were vitamin D deficient, 30% (n=21) insufficient and 36% (n=25) sufficient. No deviations from HWE were observed.

3.1. Association between neonatal polymorphisms and neonatal Vitamin D status

236 No differences in the genotype distribution of the VDR gene polymorphisms (Apal, 237 TagI, BsmI, FokI, Tru9I) were detected among sufficient, insufficient and deficient 238 neonates (Table 2). In the case of the Fokl polymorphism, the difference in the 239 frequency of the FF genotype between sufficient and deficient neonates approached, 240 but did not reach, significance (67 vs. 36%, p=0.05). 241 242 3.2. Association between maternal polymorphisms and maternal Vitamin D 243 status 244 Fokl FF genotype was more frequent among mothers with 25(OH)D concentrations 245 \geq 30 nmol/l compared with those with <30 nmol/l (57 vs. 25%, p=0.02) (**Table 3**). 246 Similar results were yielded when the threshold of 50 nmol/l was used to define 247 groups of maternal Vitamin D status [64%] in mothers with $25(OH)D \ge 50$ nmol/l vs. 248 36% in those <50 nmol/l, p=0.02] (**Table 4**). No differences in the genotype 249 distribution of the other polymorphisms (ApaI, TaqI, BsmI, FokI, Tru9I) were 250 detected between maternal groups of Vitamin D status, irrespectively of the Vitamin 251 D threshold applied. The probability of maternal deficiency [25(OH)D <30 nmol/l] 252 was 70% lower in Fokl FF mothers compared with Ff ones [odds ratio (OR) 0.3, 95% 253 confidence interval (CI) 0.09-0.92, p=0.03) and 88% lower in carriers of the FF 254 genotype than those of the ff genotype (OR 0.12, 95% CI 0.02-0.78, p=0.03). 255 256 3.3. Association between maternal polymorphisms and neonatal Vitamin D 257 status 258 Maternal Fokl FF genotype was more frequent among mothers of non-deficient 259 [25(OH)D \ge 30 nmol/l] neonates compared with those of deficient ones [25(OH)D <30 260 nmol/l)] (62 vs. 31%, p<0.01) (**Table 5**). When the same analysis was performed

using a neonatal 25(OH)D threshold of 50 nmol/l [25(OH)D <50 nmol/l vs. 25(OH)D ≥50 nmol/l], no differences in the distribution of maternal *VDR* genotypes were observed between groups. Mothers with the Fokl FF genotype presented a 73% lower risk of giving birth to vitamin D deficient neonates (logistic regression - OR 0.27, 95% CI 0.1-0.77, p=0.01) compared with carriers of the Ff genotype (**Table 6**).

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

261

262

263

264

265

4. Discussion

This study aimed to evaluate the effects of maternal and neonatal VDR polymorphisms on maternal and neonatal vitamin D status at birth, including a population from a sunny Mediterranean area in Northern Greece. Results from this maternal-neonatal pair cohort indicate that specific maternal genotypes might be protective against neonatal vitamin D deficiency, defined according to internationally applied criteria for vitamin D status [5], irrespective of neonatal VDR genetic variation. These findings are the first to be reported on the association between neonatal VDR polymorphisms and vitamin D status from this region. A protective effect of maternal Fokl FF genotype against the development of neonatal vitamin D deficiency [25(OH)D <30 nmol/l] was demonstrated. This effect was rationally mediated through the attainment of sufficient maternal vitamin status $[25(OH)D \ge 30 \text{ nmol/l}]$ and $\ge 50 \text{ nmol/l}]$ [6,7], in mothers with the FF genotype. Neonatal 25(OH)D concentrations at birth roughly follow the maternal pattern in the deficient and insufficient mother groups, while follow the normal distribution in the group of mothers with sufficient vitamin D status [3,4]. The attainment of maternal vitamin D sufficiency during pregnancy is associated with a decreased prevalence of maternal and neonatal complications [29]. Still, there is a lack of consensus regarding specific maternal 25(OH)D thresholds that affect neonatal outcomes. Taking into

account that neonatal vitamin D status at birth is decreased by approximately 25% compared with the respective maternal vitamin D concentrations, a maternal 25(OH)D threshold of \geq 50 nmol/l, could theoretically be able to prevent the development of neonatal vitamin D deficiency. However, the extent to which this phenomenon is affected by genetic variants and ethnic differences has not been elucidated. The present study was conducted in an area with a high prevalence of maternal vitamin D deficiency during pregnancy, albeit abundant sunshine [30], mainly due to sartorial habits, lack of food fortification [31] and reduced sunshine exposure, especially during the hot summer months [32]. The identification of maternal Fokl FF carriers could contribute to the overall improvement of prediction scores for management of maternal and neonatal vitamin D deficiency, yet to be developed in this region. In addition, such an approach could provide individualized management of vitamin D supplementation to the future mother. The Fokl FF genotype was associated with optimal maternal 25(OH)D concentrations $(\ge 30 \text{ nmol/l})$ and $\ge 50 \text{ nmol/l}$, still not with an increased probability of neonatal vitamin D sufficiency [25(OH)D ≥50 nmol/l]. Possible reasons for this observation might be related to the small study sample or other parameters implicated in the regulation of maternal-neonatal vitamin D equilibrium. Apart from low dietary vitamin D intake during pregnancy, additional factors such as sunlight / UVB exposure, dark skin pigmentation and maternal anthropometry may constitute geneenvironment interactions that affect neonatal Vitamin D status. Although an association between VDR polymorphisms and adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as preterm birth and SGA neonates [18,33,34] has been suggested, relative evidence is still inconclusive. In a case-control study, maternal but not

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

placental, VDR FokI Ff genotype was found to be lower in preeclamptic women compared with controls [35]. On the other hand, FokI VDR variant was associated with a higher risk for preterm birth and recurrent pregnancy loss [36,37]. The significant heterogeneity among the studies might be explained by the lack of standardized thresholds for vitamin D status, which would enable a universal stratification of mothers and neonates. The racial diversity of included populations might also contribute to the inconsistency of the results, underlying the importance of regionally-derived data in the implementation of national health policies for the prevention of vitamin D-associated adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes [38, 39]. The main limitation of the present study is the relatively small sample size, which attenuates its power to reveal gene-outcome associations. Consequently, the probability that potentially significant associations may have been missed should be considered. Furthermore, neonatal vitamin D status and adverse pregnancy outcomes are dependent on complex gene-gene and gene-environment interactions, that interplay at both maternal and neonatal levels. As a result, the variation in a single gene cannot sufficiently explain the entire spectrum of the pathophysiology of vitamin D deficiency at birth. On the other hand, the strengths of the present study are the inclusion of an ethnically homogenous population of mothers and neonates and the use of multiple thresholds to determine vitamin D status. Further studies with larger sample sizes that will involve subjects of different ethnic origins are needed to replicate the findings of the present study and clarify the complex underlying mechanisms. To conclude, this study highlights the value of population-specific, genetic profiling in understanding vitamin D deficiency among neonates and their mothers.

335

334

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

Declaration of interest: Authors report no conflict of interest.
Source of funding: This work was supported by the Hellenic Endocrine Society.
Informed consent: Informed consent was obtained from all participants.
Ethical approval: The study protocol was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.

- 345 References
- 1. Palacios C, Kostiuk LK, Peña-Rosas JP. Vitamin D supplementation for women
- during pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019;7:CD008873.
- 348 2. Karras SN, Wagner CL, Castracane VD. Understanding vitamin D metabolism in
- pregnancy: From physiology to pathophysiology and clinical outcomes.
- 350 Metabolism. 2018;86:112-23.
- 351 3. Karras SN, Shah I, Petroczi A, Goulis DG, Bili H, Papadopoulou F, Harizopoulou
- V, Tarlatzis BC, Naughton DP. An observational study reveals that neonatal
- vitamin D is primarily determined by maternal contributions: implications of a
- new assay on the roles of vitamin D forms. Nutr J. 2013;12:77.
- 355 **4.** Markestad T, Aksnes L, Ulstein M, Aarskog D. 25-hydroxyvitamin D and 1, 25-
- dihydroxyvitamin D of D2 and D3 origin in maternal and umbilical cord serum
- after vitamin D2 supplementation in human pregnancy. Am J Clin Nutr.
- 358 1984;40:1057-63.
- 5. Munns CF, Shaw N, Kiely M, Specker BL, Thacher TD, Ozono K, Michigami T,
- Tiosano D, Mughal MZ, Mäkitie O, Ramos-Abad L, Ward L, DiMeglio LA,
- Atapattu N, Cassinelli H, Braegger C, Pettifor JM, Seth A, Idris HW, Bhatia V, Fu
- J, Goldberg G, Sävendahl L, Khadgawat R, Pludowski P, Maddock J, Hyppönen
- E, Oduwole A, Frew E, Aguiar M, Tulchinsky T, Butler G, Högler W. Global
- 364 Consensus Recommendations on Prevention and Management of Nutritional
- 365 Rickets. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016;101:394-415.
- 366 **6.** Pludowski P, Holick MF, Grant WB, Konstantynowicz J, Mascarenhas MR, Haq
- A, Povoroznyuk V, Balatska N, Barbosa AP, Karonova T, Rudenka
- E, Misiorowski W, Zakharova I, Rudenka A, Łukaszkiewicz J, Marcinowska-
- Suchowierska E, Łaszcz N, Abramowicz P, Bhattoa HP, Wimalawansa SJ

- Vitamin D supplementation guidelines. J Steroid Biochem Mol
- 371 Biol. 2018;175:125-35.
- 7. Pilz S, Trummer C, Pandis M, Schwetz V, Aberer F, Grübler M, Verheyen
- N, Tomaschitz A, März W. Vitamin D: Current Guidelines and Future Outlook.
- Anticancer Res. 2018;38:1145-51.
- 8. McDonnell SL, Baggerly KA, Baggerly CA, Aliano JL, French CB, Baggerly LL,
- Ebeling MD, Rittenberg CS, Goodier CG, Mateus Niño JF, Wineland RJ,
- Newman RB, Hollis BW, Wagner CL. Maternal 25(OH)D concentrations ≥40
- 378 ng/mL associated with 60% lower preterm birth risk among general obstetrical
- patients at an urban medical center. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0180483.
- 9. Rostami M, Tehrani FR, Simbar M, Bidhendi Yarandi R, Minooee S, Hollis BW,
- Hosseinpanah F. Effectiveness of Prenatal Vitamin D Deficiency Screening and
- Treatment Program: A Stratified Randomized Field Trial. J Clin Endocrinol
- Metab. 2018;103:2936-48.
- 384 **10.** Motamed S, Nikooyeh B, Kashanian M, Hollis BW, Neyestani TR. Efficacy of
- two different doses of oral vitamin D supplementation on inflammatory
- biomarkers and maternal and neonatal outcomes. Matern Child Nutr.
- 387 2019;15:e12867.
- 388 11. Dawodu A, Saadi HF, Bekdache G, Javed Y, Altaye M, Hollis BW. Randomized
- controlled trial (RCT) of vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy in a population
- with endemic vitamin D deficiency. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013;98:2337-46.
- 391 **12.** Hollis BW, Johnson D, Hulsey TC, Ebeling M, Wagner CL. Vitamin D
- supplementation during pregnancy: double-blind, randomized clinical trial of
- safety and effectiveness. J Bone Miner Res. 2011;26:2341–57.

- 394 **13.** Wagner CL, Hollis BW. The Implications of Vitamin D Status During Pregnancy
- on Mother and her Developing Child. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2018;9:500.
- 396 **14.** Karras SN, Anagnostis P, Naughton D, Annweiler C, Petroczi A, Goulis DG.
- Vitamin D during pregnancy: why observational studies suggest deficiency and
- interventional studies show no improvement in clinical outcomes? A narrative
- 399 review. J Endocrinol Invest. 2015;38:1265-75.
- 400 15. Wagner CL, Hollis BW, Kotsa K, Fakhoury H, Karras SN. Vitamin D
- administration during pregnancy as prevention for pregnancy, neonatal and
- postnatal complications. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2017;18:307-22.
- 403 16. Suarez F, Zeghoud F, Rossignol C, Walrant O, Garabédian M. Association
- between vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism and sex-dependent growth during
- the first two years of life. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1997;82:2966-70.
- 406 17. Lorentzon M, Lorentzon R, Nordström P. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism
- is associated with birth height, growth to adolescence, and adult stature in healthy
- 408 caucasian men: a cross-sectional and longitudinal study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab.
- 409 2000;85:1666-70.
- **18.** Workalemahu T, Badon SE, Dishi-Galitzky M, Qiu C, Williams MA, Sorensen T,
- Enquobahrie DA. Placental genetic variations in vitamin D metabolism and
- 412 birthweight. Placenta. 2017;50:78-83.
- 413 **19.** Crowe FL, Steur M, Allen NE, Appleby PN, Travis RC, Key TJ. Plasma
- 414 concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D in meat eaters, fish eaters, vegetarians and
- vegans: results from the EPIC-Oxford study. Public Health Nutr. 2011;14:340-6.
- 20. Liu J, Arcot J, Cunningham J, Greenfield H, Hsu J, Padula D, Strobel N, Fraser
- DR. New data for vitamin D in Australian foods of animal origin: impact on

- estimates of national adult vitamin D intakes in 1995 and 2011-13. Asia Pac J Clin
- 419 Nutr. 2015;24:464-71.
- 420 **21.** Dunlop E, Cunningham J, Sherriff JL, Lucas RM, Greenfield H, Arcot J, Strobel
- N, Black LJ. Vitamin D₃ and 25-Hydroxyvitamin D₃ Content of Retail White Fish
- and Eggs in Australia. Nutrients. 2017;9:E647.
- **22.** Trichopoulou A, Georga K. Composition tables of foods and Greek dishes. Athens
- 424 Greece: Parisianos, 2004.
- 425 **23.** Connelly R, Platt L. Cohort profile: UK Millennium Cohort Study (MCS). Int J
- 426 Epidemiol. 2014;43:1719-25.
- 24. Shah I, James R, BarkerJ, Petroczi A, Naughton DP. Misleading measures in
- Vitamin D analysis: a novel LC-MS/MS assay to account for epimers and isobars.
- 429 Nutr J. 2011;10:46.
- 430 **25.** Shah I, Petroczi A, Naughton DP. Method for simultaneous analysis of eight
- analogues of vitamin D using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry.
- 432 Chem Central J. 2012;6:112.
- 433 26. Ross AC, Taylor CL, Yaktine AL and Del Valle HB (eds). Dietary Reference
- Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D. Washington (DC): The National Academies
- 435 Press, 2011, p. 98.
- **27.** Gezen-Ak D, Alaylıoğlu M, Genç G, Gündüz A, Candaş E, Bilgiç B, Atasoy İL,
- 437 Apaydın H, Kızıltan G, Gürvit H, Hanağası H, Ertan S, Yılmazer S, Dursun E. GC
- and VDR SNPs and Vitamin D Levels in Parkinson's Disease: The Relevance to
- Clinical Features. Neuromolecular Med. 2017;19(1):24-40.
- **28.** Gezen-Ak D, Dursun E, Bilgic B, Hanağasi H, Ertan T, Gürvit H, Emre M, Eker
- E, Ulutin T, Uysal O, Yilmazer S. Vitamin D receptor gene haplotype is

- associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Tohoku J Exp Med.
- 443 2012;228(3):189-96
- **29.** Karras SN, Fakhoury H, Muscogiuri G, Grant WB, van den Ouweland JM, Colao
- AM, Kotsa K. Maternal vitamin D levels during pregnancy and neonatal health:
- evidence to date and clinical implications. Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis.
- 447 2016;8:124-35.
- 30. Karras S, Paschou SA, Kandaraki E, Anagnostis P, Annweiler C, Tarlatzis BC,
- Hollis BW, Grant WB, Goulis DG. Hypovitaminosis D in pregnancy in the
- 450 Mediterranean region: a systematic review. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2016;70:979-86.
- 451 31. Pilz S, März W, Cashman KD, Kiely ME, Whiting SJ, Holick MF, Grant WB,
- Pludowski P, Hiligsmann M, Trummer C, Schwetz V, Lerchbaum E, Pandis M,
- Tomaschitz A, Grübler MR, Gaksch M, Verheyen N, Hollis BW, Rejnmark L,
- Karras SN, Hahn A, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Reichrath J, Jorde R, Elmadfa I, Vieth
- R, Scragg R, Calvo MS, van Schoor NM, Bouillon R, Lips P, Itkonen ST,
- 456 Martineau AR, Lamberg-Allardt C, Zittermann A. Rationale and Plan for Vitamin
- D Food Fortification: A Review and Guidance Paper. Front Endocrinol
- 458 (Lausanne). 2018;9:373.
- 459 32. Anastasiou A, Karras SN, Bais A, Grant WB, Kotsa K, Goulis DG. Ultraviolet
- radiation and effects on humans: the paradigm of maternal vitamin D production
- 461 during pregnancy. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2017;71:1268-72.
- 462 33. Javorski N, Lima CAD, Silva LVC, Crovella S, de Azêvedo Silva J. Vitamin D
- receptor (VDR) polymorphisms are associated to spontaneous preterm birth and
- 464 maternal aspects. Gene. 2018;642:58–63.

- 465 **34.** Rosenfeld T, Salem H, Altarescu G, Grisaru-Granovsky S, Tevet A, Birk R.
- 466 Maternal-fetal vitamin D receptor polymorphisms significantly associated with
- preterm birth. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2017;296:215–22.
- 468 **35.** Farajian-Mashhadi F, Eskandari F, Rezaei M, Eskandari F, Najafi D, Teimoori B,
- Moradi-Sharbabak M, Salimi S. The possible role of maternal and placental
- vitamin D receptor polymorphisms and haplotypes in pathogenesis of
- 471 preeclampsia. Clin Exp Hypertens. 2019. Epub ahead of print.
- 472 doi:10.1080/10641963.2019.1601203.
- 473 **36.** Rezavand N, Tabarok S, Rahimi Z, Vaisi-Raygani A, Mohammadi E, Rahimi Z.
- The effect of VDR gene polymorphisms and vitamin D level on blood pressure,
- risk of preeclampsia, gestational age, and body mass index. J Cell Biochem.
- 476 2019;120:6441-448.
- 477 37. Barišić A, Pereza N, Hodžić A, Krpina MG, Ostojić S, Peterlin B. Genetic
- variation in the maternal vitamin D receptor FokI gene as a risk factor for
- recurrent pregnancy loss. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2019. Epub ahead of
- 480 print. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2019.1660768.
- **38.** Pereira-Santos M, Carvalho GQ, Louro ID, Dos Santos DB, Oliveira AM.
- Polymorphism in the vitamin D receptor gene is associated with maternal vitamin
- D concentration and neonatal outcomes: A Brazilian cohort study. Am J Hum
- 484 Biol. 2019;31:e23250.
- 485 39. Rezende VB, Sandrim VC, Palei AC, Machado L, Cavalli RC, Duarte G, Tanus-
- Santos JE. Vitamin D receptor polymorphisms in hypertensive disorders of
- 487 pregnancy. Mol Biol Rep. 2012;39:10903-6.

Table 1. Demographic and laboratory features of mothers and neonates.

Variable	Value
Mothers' age (years)	33 ± 6
BMI pre-pregnancy (kg/m²)	25 ± 5
BMI term (kg/m²)	30 ± 6
Weeks of gestation	39 ± 2
Smoking (%)	11 (15)
Alcohol consumption (%)	None: 51 (73) / Light: 12 (17) / Moderate: 7 (10)
Higher education (%)	Primary: 13 (19) / Secondary: 42 (60) / Higher: 15
	(21)
Calcium supplementation (%)	20 (29)
Maternal 25(OH)D (nmol/l)	47 ± 26
Neonatal 25(OH)D (nmol/l)	35 ± 20
Calcium (mg/dl)	9 ± 1
PTH (pg/ml)	27 ± 13

490

- Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation or absolute value (percentage).
- 492 Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; PTH: parathyroid hormone; 25(OH)D: 25-
- 493 hydroxy-vitamin D.

495 **Table 2.** Genotype distribution of neonatal VDR polymorphisms according to 496 neonatal vitamin D status.

Polymorphism	Genotype	Deficient	Insufficient	Sufficient	p-value
		n=36 (52%)	n=19 (27%)	n=15 (21%)	
	AA	12 (33)	8 (42)	3 (20)	
APAl	Aa	20 (56)	10 (53)	9 (60)	0.57
	aa	4 (11)	1 (5)	3 (20)	
	TT	15 (42)	4 (21)	8 (53)	
TAQl	Tt	16 (44)	11 (58)	5 (33)	0.39
	tt	5 (14)	4(21)	2 (13)	
	BB	9 (25)	7 (37)	3 (20)	
BSMI	Bb	14 (39)	7 (37)	<mark>6 (40)</mark>	0.84
	bb	13 (36)	5 (26)	6 (40)	
	FF	13 (36)	10 (53)	10 (67)	
FOKI	Ff	19 (53)	8 (42)	5 (33)	0.05
	ff	4 (11)	1 (5)	0 (0)	
	UU	24 (66)	11 (58)	11 (73)	
TRU91	Uu	10 (28)	8 (42)	4 (27)	0.70
	uu	2 (6)	0 (0)	0 (0)	

⁴⁹⁷

⁴⁹⁸ Deficient: 25(OH)D <30 nmol/l, Insufficient: 30≤ 25(OH)D ≤50 nmol/l, Sufficient:

^{499 25(}OH)D >50nmol/l. Data are presented as absolute value (percentage).

Abbreviations: VDR: Vitamin D receptor; 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxy-vitamin D.

Table 3. Genotype distribution of maternal VDR polymorphisms according to maternal vitamin D status [25(OH)D <30 nmol/l vs. 25(OH)D ≥30 nmol/l].

Polymorphism	Genotype	Maternal vitamin D status		p-value
		25(OH)D <30 nmol/l	25(OH)D ≥30 nmol/l	
		n=24 (34%)	n=46 (66%)	
	AA	10 (42)	19 (43)	
<u>APAl</u>	Aa	11 (46)	22 (46)	0.98
	aa	3 (12)	5 (11)	
	TT	7 (29)	18 (39)	
TAQI	Tt	12 (50)	21 (46)	0.60
	tt	5 (21)	7 (15)	
	BB	10 (42)	16 (35)	
BSMl	Bb	8 (33)	13 (28)	0.63
	Bb	6 (25)	17 (37)	
	FF	6 (25)	<mark>26 (57)</mark>	
FOKI	Ff	14 (58)	18 (39)	0.02
	ff	4 (17)	2 (4)	
	UU	16 (67)	25 (54)	
TRU91	Uu	8 (33)	18 (39)	0.30
	Uu	0 (0)	3 (7)	

Data are presented as absolute value (percentage). Significant differences are presented in bold.

507 Abbreviations: VDR: Vitamin D receptor; 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxy-vitamin D

504

Table 4. Genotype distribution of maternal VDR polymorphisms according to maternal Vitamin D status [25(OH)D <50 nmol/l vs. 25(OH)D ≥50 nmol/l].

		Maternal vitamin D status		
Polymorphism	Genotype	25(OH)D <50 nmol/l n=45 (64%)	25(OH)D ≥50 nmol/l n=25 (36%)	p-value
	AA	19 (42)	10 (40)	
<u>APA1</u>	Aa	22 (49)	11 (44)	0.68
	aa	4 (9)	4 (16)	
	TT	14 (31)	11 (44)	
TAQI	Tt	23 (51)	10 (40)	0.60
	tt	8 (18)	4 (16)	
	BB	17 (38)	9 (36)	
BSMI	Bb	15 (33)	6 (24)	0.57
	bb	13 (29)	10 (40)	
	FF	16 (36)	<mark>16 (64)</mark>	
FOKI	Ff	23 (51)	9 (36)	0.02
	ff	6 (13)	0 (0)	
	UU	27 (60)	14 (56)	
TRU91	Uu	16 (36)	10 (40)	0.91
	uu	2 (4)	1 (4)	

511

Data are presented as absolute value (percentage). Significant differences are presented in bold.

Abbreviations: VDR: Vitamin D receptor; 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxy-vitamin D.

Table 5. Genotype distribution of maternal VDR polymorphisms according to neonatal Vitamin D status [Vitamin D deficient: 25(OH)D <30 nmol/l, Vitamin D non-deficient: 25(OH)D ≥30 nmol/l].

		Neonatal vita		
Polymorphism	Genotype	25(OH)D <30 nmol/l n=36 (51%)	25(OH)D ≥30 nmol/l n=34 (49%)	p-value
	AA	15 (42)	14 (41)	
<u>APA1</u>	Aa	17 (47)	16 (47)	1.00
	aa	4 (11)	4 (12)	
	TT	11 (31)	14 (41)	
TAQl	Tt	18 (50)	15 (44)	0.69
	Tt	7 (19)	5 (15)	_
	BB	14 (39)	12 (36)	
BSMl	Bb	12 (33)	9 (26)	0.63
	bb	10 (28)	13 (38)	_
	FF	11 (31)	21 (62)	
FOKI	Ff	21 (58)	11(32)	<0.01
	ff	4 (11)	2 (6)	-
	UU	23 (64)	18 (53)	
TRU91	Uu	12 (33)	14 (41)	0.59
	uu	1 (3)	2 (6)	-

Data are presented as absolute value (percentage). Significant differences are presented in bold.

522 Abbreviations: VDR: Vitamin D receptor; 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxy-vitamin D.

Table 6. Association between maternal Fokl genotypes and risk of maternal and neonatal Vitamin D deficiency [25(OH)D <30 nmol/l].

Outcome	Maternal	OR	CI	p-value
	genotypes			
Neonatal deficiency	FF vs. Ff	0.27	0.10 - 0.77	0.01
Maternal deficiency	FF vs. Ff	0.30	0.09 - 0.92	0.03
Maternal deficiency	FF vs. ff	0.12	0.02 - 0.78	0.03

527 Abbreviations: OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval

526